## Market Access for High-Value Foods

## Introduction

Anita Regmi, Mark Gehlhar, John Wainio, Thomas Vollrath, Paul Johnston, and Nitin Kathuria<sup>1</sup>

Global trade in high-value foods has grown significantly in the past three decades and now dwarfs raw agricultural commodity trade. High-value food, which in 1976 accounted for 30 percent of total U.S. agricultural trade and 48 percent of world agricultural trade, doubled in share of U.S. agricultural trade to 60 percent in 1994 and increased in share of world trade by 27 percentage points to 75 percent during the same period. From 1994 to 2002, however, U.S. trade in high-value food grew at a slower pace, registering growth of only 3 percentage points during the 9-year period and accounting for 63 percent of U.S. agricultural trade. Similarly, world trade in high-value food grew only 4 percentage points during the same period, reaching 79 percent of world agricultural trade in 2002. Although the potential for trade expansion remains great, market access is a major problem for countries exporting high-value foods, particularly processed foods, for which trade is still highly concentrated among few partners. Without improved access to global markets, the growth prospects for high-value food trade remain uncertain.

Food trade comprises traditional bulk commodities, such as wheat, rice, and corn; semi-processed products, such as flour and oils; horticultural products such as fresh fruits and vegetables and nursery products; and processed food products such as breakfast cereals and canned soups (see box on product groupings). Horticultural, processed, and semi-processed products are considered to be high-value products.

While the Uruguay Round of WTO negotiations laid the framework for subjecting food and agricultural trade to multilaterally agreed upon rules similar to those governing trade in industrial products, actual accomplishments in removing trade barriers have been more modest. In the market access area, countries agreed to upper limits on agricultural tariffs and timetables for reductions in these limits but were given much latitude to protect sensitive products from tariff reductions. Additionally, pre-Uruguay Round agricultural tariffs were much higher than tariffs on nonagricultural products and remain so even after the Uruguay Round reductions. More important, tariff protection remains highly uneven across both countries and products. Concerns have also been raised by some members that tariffs on processed and semi-processed products are generally higher than tariffs on primary commodities, reflecting efforts to protect domestic processing sectors.

In addition to tariffs, WTO members employ numerous other instruments to regulate the flow of imports, including sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) measures as well as a number of trade remedy measures. High-value prod-

<sup>1</sup> The authors are ERS economists, with the exception of Paul Johnston, who recently retired from the agency, and Nitin Kathuria, who is a management trainee at Marico Industries Ltd., Mumbai, India.

## **Description of Product Groupings**

Primary bulk commodities Produce/horticulture			ortioulturo
Description Primary by	HS code	Description	HS code
		·	
Coffee	09011	Planting material	0601-0602
Tea	0902	Cut flowers/plants	0603-0604
Coffee mate	0903	Vegetables	0701-0709
Wheat	1001	Roots, tubers	0714
Rye	1002	Coconut	08011
Barley	1003	Brazilnut	08012
Oats	1004	Cashew nuts	08013
Corn	1005 1006	Other nuts	08021-08025, 08029
Rice	1008	Fruit	0803-08010
Sorghum		Frozen fruit	08119
Other grains	1008 1201	Dried fruit	08131-08135
Soybeans	1201	Pepper	09041-09042
Peanuts Oilseeds	1202	Vanilla	905
		Cinnamon	09061-09062
Cotton linters	14042	Cloves	0907
Cocoa beans Tobacco	1801 24011-24013	Nutmeg	09081
Cotton	5201-5203	Mace	09082
Hemp	5302	Cardamom	09083
Пешр	5502	Other seeds	09091-09095
Semi	-processed	Other spices	09101-09105
Live animals	0101-0106	Spice mix	091091, 091099
Pig fat	0209	Hops	
Hairs	0501-0503	Stone fruit	12101, 12102
Animal products	0504-0511		12123
Dried, shelled beans	0713	Sugarbeet	121291
Coffee husks	09019	Sugarcane	121292
Grain flours, groats	1101-1103	Proce	essed
Starch	11081	Fresh, chilled meats	0201-0208
Inulin	11082	Processed meat	-210
Wheat gluten	1109	Dairy products	0401-0406
Copra	1203	Eggs and products	0407-0408
Soy flour & meal	1208		
Sowing seeds	1209	Honey	0409
Roots, seeds cut/crushed	1211	Other animal products	0410
Straw, husks, fodder	1213, 1214	Processed vegetables	0710-0712
Gum, lac, plant extracts	1301, 1302	Processed fruit	0811-0812, 0814
Furnishing material	1401-1404	Coffee	09012, 09014
Animal fat	1501-1503, 1505, 1506	Processed grains	1104-1107
Vegetable oils	1507-1516	Other vegetables	1212
Inedible fats, oils	1518	Fish & animal oils	1504, 1517
Crude glycerol	1520	Prepared meats	1601-1603
Wax	1521	Sugar, sweeteners	1701-1704
Degras	1522	Chocolates	1806
Sugar	17011	Flour preparations	1901
Cocoa products	1802-1806	Pasta	1902
Grain products	2301-2303	Tapioca	1903
Oilseed cake	2304-2306	Other preparations	1904-1905
Plant waste material	2308	Prepared vegetables	2001-2005
Pet food material	2309	Prepared fruit	2006-2009
Glycerol/sorbitol/mannitol	2905	Extracts, essences, broths	2101-2106
Special plant oils	33011-33013, 33019	Beverages	2201-2208
Proteins/gelatins/starches	3501-3505	Vinegar	2209
Amylaceous substance	38091	Tobacco products	2402-2403
Fatty acids, alcohols	3823-3824	Other products	3502
Hides, skins	4101-4103	p additi	3002
Fur	4301	Note: The Harmonized System (HS) provides a nomenclature for	
Silk	5001-5003	classifying internationally trade goods. The definitions of HS	
Wool	5101-5103	commodity groupings up to the 6-digit level are established by the	
Flax	530	World Customs Organization (http://	://www.wcoomd.org/ie/En/en.html).

ucts, unlike bulk commodities, are often ready-to-eat products and are generally more perishable in nature. They also require specialized shipping, packaging, and handling. These characteristics make high-value products subject to a higher degree of scrutiny regarding quality and food safety than bulk commodities. Partly because of such stringent requirements and partly because of the ease in catering to local consumer preferences, suppliers sometimes choose to invest and manufacture high-value food locally rather than export to a market, thereby affecting trade.

Although trade policy has the greatest effect on high-value food trade, other factors influence trade flows. Food trade patterns are shaped by underlying forces that affect consumer preferences and factors of food production. Countries specialize in and export those products that make use of their abundant inputs. Globalization of the food industry has enabled firms to have easier access to capital and technology, the two most important inputs used in the production of many high-value foods. Accordingly, food trade patterns are shifting in composition, partners, and net trade positions for many high-value foods.

A major goal of the ongoing Doha Round of the WTO negotiations is to improve market access for agricultural products, particularly high-value foods that suffer from tariffs that are generally much higher than the tariffs levied on primary agricultural commodities. The actual rates of cuts and the formula for cuts are yet undecided. However, for illustrative purposes, it is meaningful to examine how a uniform tariff cut across both primary and processed products can have varying trade impacts across countries and products, particularly high-value foods.

To better understand the forces affecting trade in high-value foods, this report examines current multilateral rules governing global trade in high-value foods, analyzes trade patterns by the content of trade, and evaluates the impact of improved market access by uniform reductions in global tariffs.