Economic Research Service

Administrative
Publication
Number 124

September 2024

## Statistical Supplement to Household Food Security in the United States in 2023

Matthew P. Rabbitt Madeline Reed-Jones Laura J. Hales Michael P. Burke

# Economic Research Service www.ers.usda.gov

#### **Recommended citation format for this publication:**

Rabbitt, M. P., Reed-Jones, M., Hales, L. J., and Burke, M. P. (2024). *Statistical supplement to household food security in the United States in 2023* (Report No. AP-124). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.

Use of commercial and trade names does not imply approval or constitute endorsement by USDA.

To ensure the quality of its research reports and satisfy governmentwide standards, ERS requires that all research reports with substantively new material be reviewed by qualified technical research peers. This technical peer review process, coordinated by ERS' Peer Review Coordinating Council, allows experts who possess the technical background, perspective, and expertise to provide an objective and meaningful assessment of the output's substantive content and clarity of communication during the publication's review.

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Economic Research Service

Administrative Publication Number 124

September 2024

# **Statistical Supplement to Household Food Security in the United States in 2023**

Matthew P. Rabbitt, Madeline Reed-Jones, Laura J. Hales, and Michael P. Burke

#### **Note to Readers**

This supplement provides statistics that complement those in the *Household Food Security in the United States in 2023* report (Report No. ERR-337), a research report that presents the primary national statistics on household food security, food spending, and the use of Federal food and nutrition assistance programs by food-insecure households. Additional statistics here cover component items of the household food security measure, the frequency of occurrence of food-insecure conditions, and selected statistics on household food security, food spending, and the use of Federal and community food and nutrition assistance programs.

**Keywords**: food security, food insecurity, food spending, free meal, food pantry, food bank, soup kitchen, emergency kitchen, charitable foods, material well-being, SNAP, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, National School Lunch Program, WIC, Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Joe Rodhouse, Michele Ver Ploeg, Debbie Rubas, and Jay Variyam of USDA, ERS for their reviews of this report. The authors also thank two external reviewers, Helen Jensen of Iowa State University and Chris Barrett of Cornell University, and reviewers from USDA, Food and Nutrition Service and USDA, Office of the Chief Economist for their insightful feedback. Thanks also to USDA, ERS editors Casey Keel, Jana Goldman, and Grant Wall, and USDA, ERS designer Chris Sanguinett for their work in producing this report.

#### **About the Authors**

Matthew P. Rabbitt, Madeline Reed-Jones, and Laura J. Hales are economists in the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Economic Research Service (ERS), Food Economics Division, Food Assistance Branch. Michael P. Burke is a senior research analyst in USDA, Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), SNAP Research and Analysis Division.

### **Contents**

Introduction	1
Food Insecurity in Low-Income Households	1
Number of Persons by Household Food Security Status and Selected Household Characteristics	3
Food Security During the 30 Days Before the Food Security Survey	6
Household Responses to Questions in the Food Security Scale	11
Frequency of Occurrence of Behaviors, Experiences, and Conditions That Indicate Food Insecurity	14
Monthly and Daily Occurrence of Food-Insecure Conditions	17
Food Spending by Food-Secure and Food-Insecure Households	18
Use of Community Nutrition Assistance	20
Food Spending by Households That Received Food and Nutrition Assistance	23
Food Security During the 30 Days Before the Food Security Survey in Households That Received Food and Nutrition Assistance	23
Combined Use of Federal and Community Food and Nutrition Assistance	25

# **Statistical Supplement to Household Food Security in the United States in 2023**

#### Introduction

This supplement provides statistics on component items of the household food security measure, the frequency of occurrence of food-insecure conditions, and selected statistics on household food security, food spending, and the use of Federal and community food and nutrition assistance programs. It complements the *Household Food Security in the United States in 2023* report (Rabbitt et al., 2024), which provides the primary national statistics on household food security, food spending, and the use of Federal food and nutrition assistance programs by food-insecure households.

The statistics presented here are based on data collected in the Current Population Survey (CPS) Food Security Supplement (FSS) conducted in December 2023. Information about the survey, data, and methods is available in the *Household Food Security in the United States in 2023* report (Report No. ERR-337). All statistics were calculated by applying the FSS weights to the responses of surveyed households to obtain nationally representative prevalence estimates. Unless otherwise noted, statistical differences described in the text are significant at the 90-percent confidence level.<sup>1</sup>

### **Food Insecurity in Low-Income Households**

Table S-1 presents food security statistics for households with annual incomes below 130 percent of the poverty line. Pay definition, food insecurity results from insufficient household resources. However, many factors that might affect a household's food security (e.g., job loss, divorce, adverse health event, or other unexpected events) are not captured by an annual income measure. Some households experienced episodes of food insecurity, or even very low food security, when their annual incomes were well above the poverty line (Nord & Brent, 2002; Gundersen & Gruber, 2001). Conversely, many low-income households managed to remain food secure. In 2023, 62.7 percent of households with annual incomes below 130 percent of the poverty line were food secure, while 37.3 percent were food insecure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Standard errors of estimates were calculated using balanced repeated replication (BRR) methods based on replicate weights computed for the supplement by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Federal poverty line in 2023 was \$30,900 annual income for a family of four (two adults and two children). Households with gross monthly income at or below 130 percent of the poverty line are eligible to participate in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), provided they meet other eligibility criteria. The gross monthly income limit does not apply to households with an elderly member or member with a disability. Some States have adopted a broad-based categorical eligibility that provides a higher gross income limit for SNAP applicants who are categorically eligible for SNAP based on participation in other programs or benefits. Children were eligible for free meals in the National School Lunch and School Breakfast programs if their household income was determined to be at or below 130 percent of the poverty line.

Table S-1

U.S. households with annual income below 130 percent of the poverty line by food security status and selected household characteristics, 2023

						Food i	nsecure		
Category	Total <sup>1</sup>	Food	secure	,	All		ow food curity		y low food curity
	1,000	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent
All low-income households	16,599	10,407	62.7	6,192	37.3	3,598	21.7	2,594	15.6
Household composition									
With children < 18 years	5,725	3,217	56.2	2,508	43.8	1,629	28.4	879	15.4
With children < 6 years	2,616	1,503	57.5	1,113	42.5	692	26.4	421	16.1
Married-couple families	2,222	1,420	63.9	802	36.1	580	26.1	222	10.0
Female head, no spouse	2,811	1,410	50.2	1,401	49.8	856	30.4	545	19.4
Male head, no spouse	631	339	53.7	292	46.3	180	28.6	112.0	17.7
Other household with child <sup>2</sup>	62	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
With no children < 18 years	10,874	7,190	66.1	3,684	33.9	1,969	18.1	1,715	15.8
More than one adult	3,944	2,675	67.8	1,269	32.2	727	18.5	542	13.7
Women living alone	3,929	2,569	65.4	1,360	34.6	692	17.6	668	17.0
Men living alone	3,000	1,945	64.8	1,055	35.2	550	18.4	505	16.8
With an adult age 65 and older	5,384	3,805	70.7	1,579	29.3	1,056	19.6	523	9.7
Adult age 65 and older living alone	3,168	2,248	71.0	920	29.0	578	18.2	342	10.8
Race/ethnicity of household refere	ence person	s:							
White, non-Hispanic	7,737	5,125	66.2	2,612	33.8	1,395	18.1	1,217	15.7
Black, non-Hispanic	3,113	1,657	53.2	1,456	46.8	901	29.0	555	17.8
Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	4,303	2,633	61.2	1,670	38.8	1,054	24.5	616	14.3
Other, non-Hispanic	1,446	993	68.7	453	31.3	247	17.1	206	14.2
Area of residence <sup>4</sup>									
Inside metropolitan area	13,637	8,628	63.3	5,009	36.7	2,936	21.5	2,073	15.2
In principal cities <sup>5</sup>	5,568	3,384	60.8	2,184	39.2	1,333	23.9	851	15.3
Not in principal cities	5,766	3,712	64.4	2,054	35.6	1,171	20.3	883	15.3
Outside metropolitan area	2,961	1,778	60.0	1,183	40.0	662	22.4	521	17.6
Census geographic region									
Northeast	2,465	1,532	62.2	933	37.8	503	20.4	430	17.4
Midwest	3,599	2,247	62.4	1,352	37.6	761	21.2	591	16.4
South	7,030	4,394	62.5	2,636	37.5	1,626	23.1	1,010	14.4
West	3,504	2,233	63.7	1,271	36.3	709	20.3	562	16.0

NA = Not reported; fewer than 10 households in the survey with this characteristic had food insecurity or very low food security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Totals exclude households for which income was not reported (about 21 percent of households) and those for which food security status is unknown because the households did not give a valid response to any of the questions in the food security scale (0.4 percent of low-income households).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Households with children in complex living arrangements (e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hispanic respondents may be of any race.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Metropolitan area residence is based on 2013 Office of Management and Budget delineation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 17 percent of low-income households in metropolitan statistical areas.

# Number of Persons by Household Food Security Status and Selected Household Characteristics

The survey measures food security status at the household level. While it is informative to examine the number of persons residing in food-insecure households, these statistics should be interpreted carefully (see tables S-2 for all individuals and S-3 for children). Within a food-insecure household, household members may be affected differently by the household's food insecurity. Some members—particularly young children—may experience only mild or no effects, while adults are more severely affected as they try to shield younger children from experiencing food insecurity. It is more precise to describe these statistics as representing "persons living in food-insecure households" rather than as representing "food-insecure persons." Similarly, "persons living in households with very low food security" is a more precise description than "persons with very low food security." "Children living in food-insecure households" are those with low or very low food security among adults or children, or both. "Households with food-insecure children" are those with low or very low food security among children.

Table S-2

Number of individuals by food security status of U.S. households and selected household characteristics, 2023

					ln ·	food-insed	cure housel	holds	
Category	Total <sup>1</sup>		-secure eholds		All	In households with low food security			holds with ood security
	1,000	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent
All individuals in households	330,691	283,302	85.7	47,389	14.3	30,917	9.3	16,472	5.0
Household composition									
With children < 18 years	153,976	126,176	81.9	27,800	18.1	19,269	12.6	8,531	5.5
With children < 6 years	64,556	52,334	81.1	12,222	18.9	8,698	13.4	3,524	5.5
Married-couple families	107,063	94,246	88.0	12,817	12.0	9,590	9.0	3,227	3.0
Female head, no spouse	33,322	21,493	64.5	11,829	35.5	7,673	23.0	4,156	12.5
Male head, no spouse	11,910	9,132	76.7	2,778	23.3	1,704	14.3	1,074	9.0
Other household with child <sup>2</sup>	1,681	1,304	77.6	377	22.4	NA	NA	NA	NA
With no children < 18 years	176,715	157,126	88.9	19,589	11.1	11,647	6.6	7,942	4.5
More than one adult	136,458	122,981	90.1	13,477	9.9	8,328	6.1	5,149	3.8
Women living alone	21,831	18,303	83.8	3,528	16.2	1,946	9.0	1,582	7.2
Men living alone	18,427	15,843	86.0	2,584	14.0	1,374	7.4	1,210	6.6
With an adult age 65 and older	88,272	79,686	90.3	8,586	9.7	5,902	6.7	2,684	3.0
Adult age 65 and older living alone	16,613	14,791	89.0	1,822	11.0	1,117	6.8	705	4.2
Race/ethnicity of household refere	nce person	s							
White, non-Hispanic	199,393	178,733	89.6	20,660	10.4	13,061	6.6	7,599	3.8
Black, non-Hispanic	41,123	31,893	77.6	9,230	22.4	5,911	14.3	3,319	8.1
Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	61,048	47,197	77.3	13,851	22.7	9,669	15.8	4,182	6.9
Other, non-Hispanic	29,126	25,478	87.5	3,648	12.5	2,276	7.8	1,372	4.7
Household income-to-poverty ratio	)								
Under 1.00	30,209	17,898	59.2	12,311	40.8	7,201	23.9	5,110	16.9
Under 1.30	43,645	26,653	61.1	16,992	38.9	10,204	23.3	6,788	15.6
Under 1.85	72,259	47,329	65.5	24,930	34.5	15,435	21.4	9,495	13.1
1.85 and over	193,757	179,200	92.5	14,557	7.5	10,232	5.3	4,325	2.2
Income unknown	64,674	56,772	87.8	7,902	12.2	5,250	8.1	2,652	4.1
Area of residence <sup>4</sup>									
Inside metropolitan area	286,413	246,157	85.9	40,256	14.1	26,372	9.3	13,884	4.8
In principal cities <sup>5</sup>	89,596	74,636	83.3	14,960	16.7	10,065	11.2	4,895	5.5
Not in principal cities	155,181	135,476	87.3	19,705	12.7	12,743	8.2	6,962	4.5
Outside metropolitan area	44,278	37,145	83.9	7,133	16.1	4,544	10.3	2,589	5.8
Census geographic region									
Northeast	56,183	49,239	87.6	6,944	12.4	4,631	8.3	2,313	4.1
Midwest	67,859	58,683	86.5	9,176	13.5	5,938	8.7	3,238	4.8
South	128,759	108,134	84.0	20,625	16.0	13,197	10.2	7,428	5.8
West	77,890	67,246	86.3	10,644	13.7	7,150	9.2	3,494	4.5

NA = Not reported; fewer than 10 households in the survey with this characteristic had very low food security.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Totals exclude individuals in households for which food security status is unknown because the households did not give a valid response to any of the questions in the food security scale. In 2023, these exclusions represented about 413,000 individuals (0.1 percent of the U.S. population).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Households with children in complex living arrangements (e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder).

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mbox{Hispanic respondents may be of any race.}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Metropolitan area residence is based on 2013 Office of Management and Budget delineation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 15 percent of individuals living in metropolitan statistical areas.

Table S-3

Number of children by food security status of U.S. households and selected household characteristics, 2023

Category	Total <sup>1</sup>	In food-secure households		In food-insecure households <sup>2</sup>		In households with food-insecure children <sup>3</sup>		In households with very low food security among children	
	1,000	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent
All children	72,203	58,374	8.08	13,829	19.2	7,162	9.9	841	1.2
Household composition									
With children < 6 years	33,265	26,361	79.2	6,904	20.8	3,341	10.0	407	1.2
Married-couple families	48,889	43,001	0.88	5,888	12.0	2,831	5.8	234	0.5
Female head, no spouse	17,305	10,814	62.5	6,491	37.5	3,523	20.4	534	3.1
Male head, no spouse	5,408	4,072	75.3	1,336	24.7	750	13.9	72	1.3
Other household with child <sup>4</sup>	601	486	80.9	115	19.1	NA	NA	NA	NA
Race/ethnicity of household refere	nce persons	;							
White, non-Hispanic	38,940	33,514	86.1	5,426	13.9	2,684	6.9	154	0.4
Black, non-Hispanic	10,306	7,491	72.7	2,815	27.3	1,420	13.8	217	2.1
Hispanic <sup>5</sup>	16,439	11,938	72.6	4,501	27.4	2,402	14.6	402	2.4
Other, non-Hispanic	6,519	5,432	83.3	1,087	16.7	656	10.1	NA	NA
Household income-to-poverty ratio	0								
Under 1.00	9,821	5,321	54.2	4,500	45.8	2,662	27.1	462	4.7
Under 1.30	13,995	7,920	56.6	6,075	43.4	3,605	25.8	564	4.0
Under 1.85	21,668	13,280	61.3	8,388	38.7	4,657	21.5	680	3.1
1.85 and over	39,023	35,559	91.1	3,464	8.9	1,558	4.0	85	0.2
Income unknown	11,512	9,535	82.8	1,977	17.2	946	8.2	NA	NA
Area of residence <sup>6</sup>									
Inside metropolitan area	62,551	50,705	81.1	11,846	18.9	6,180	9.9	696	1.1
In principal cities <sup>7</sup>	19,242	14,923	77.6	4,319	22.4	2,107	11.0	284	1.5
Not in principal cities	33,967	28,105	82.7	5,862	17.3	3,176	9.4	332	1.0
Outside metropolitan area	9,652	7,668	79.4	1,984	20.6	982	10.2	145	1.5
Census geographic region									
Northeast	11,242	9,240	82.2	2,002	17.8	1,083	9.6	88	8.0
Midwest	15,068	12,559	83.3	2,509	16.7	1,305	8.7	NA	NA
South	29,115	22,755	78.2	6,360	21.8	3,278	11.3	497	1.7
West	16,780	13,821	82.4	2,959	17.6	1,495	8.9	154	0.9

NA = Not reported; fewer than 10 households in the survey with this characteristic had very low food security among children.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Totals exclude households for which food security status is unknown because the households did not give a valid response to any of the questions in the food security scale. In 2023, these exclusions represented 88,000 children (0.1 percent).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Food-insecure households are those with low or very low food security among adults or children, or both.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Households with food-insecure children are those with low or very low food security among children.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Households with children in complex living arrangements (e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Hispanic respondents may be of any race.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Metropolitan area residence is based on 2013 Office of Management and Budget delineation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 15 percent of children living in metropolitan statistical areas.

### Food Security During the 30 Days Before the Food Security Survey

The annual food security survey was designed to assess households' food security during the 12-month period prior to the survey, but information was also collected for the 30-day period before the survey for the subset of households that reported experiencing food insecurity in the 12 months before the survey.<sup>3</sup> Households that responded affirmatively to each 12-month period question were asked whether the same behavior, experience, or condition occurred during the last 30 days. Researchers used responses to these questions to assess the food security status of households during the 30 days before the survey, following the same protocols used for the 12-month measure.<sup>4</sup> The 30-day food security measurement protocol was revised in 2005, so 30-day statistics for 2023 are directly comparable with those for 2005 and later years but not with those reported for 2004 and earlier years.

An estimated 92.5 percent of households were food secure throughout the 30-day period before the survey, from mid-November to mid-December 2023 (table S-4).<sup>5</sup> An estimated 7.5 percent (9.9 million households) were food insecure at some point during the 30-day period, including 3.2 percent (4.2 million households) experiencing very low food security. The national-level prevalence rates of food insecurity and very low food security were statistically significantly higher than the corresponding 30-day period in 2022 (7.0 percent and 2.8 percent, respectively) (Rabbitt et al., 2023).<sup>6</sup> Additionally, several subpopulations experienced statistically significant increases in prevalence rates of 30-day food insecurity from 2022 to 2023, including households with no children under 18 years old (6.1 percent to 6.6 percent); women living alone (8.3 percent to 9.6 percent); households with incomes over 185 percent of the Federal poverty line (3.4 percent to 4.0); households in metropolitan areas (6.9 percent to 7.3 percent); households outside principal cities within metropolitan areas (5.7 percent to 6.6 percent); and households in the West region of the United States (5.7 percent to 7.3 percent). There were no statistically significant declines in the prevalence of 30-day food insecurity from 2022 to 2023. See figure S-1 for the percent of households that were food insecure in the 30 days before the survey in 2022 and 2023 and the percentage point changes in the prevalence from 2022 to 2023 for all subpopulation groups. Changes marked with an asterisk (\*) in the figure are statistically significant.

Many subpopulations also experienced increases in the prevalence of 30-day very low food security when compared with the corresponding 30-day period in 2022. Figure S-2 displays the prevalence of very low food security during the 30 days before the food security survey in 2022 and 2023 for all population subgroups and shows the statistically significant increases (as marked with an asterisk (\*)) for the following groups:

- All households (2.8 percent to 3.2 percent);
- Households with children under age 18 (2.8 to 3.5 percent);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Households reporting that they were food secure during the 12-month period before the survey were assumed to be food secure for the 30-day period before the survey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For questions asking about the frequency of conditions or behaviors (items 5, 10, and 17), responses that indicated the described conditions occurred on at least 3 days of the previous 30 days were counted as affirmative. See Nord (2002). Beginning with the 2019 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement data collection, all continuous variables for the number of days out of the previous 30 days that food-insecure conditions occurred are only released after being categorized into ranges of number of days. In 2023, all these recoded variables include at least a category for 1 or 2 days so that the 30-day food security prevalence rate can be calculated the same way as in previous years, using 3 or more days as affirmative. One variable, HESSHM5: "In the last 30 days, did children ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food?" did not meet U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census guidelines for disclosure and no data are provided for that variable. That question was not used in assessing 30-day food security status but is unlikely to affect the estimates because it is the most severe item on the scale and not near the food insecurity or very low food security thresholds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The 2023 food security survey was conducted December 10–19, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The 2022 food security survey was conducted December 11–20, 2022.

- Households with children under age 6 (2.5 to 3.5 percent);
- Households with children headed by a single female (i.e., single mothers, labeled "Female head, no spouse"; 6.2 to 8.1 percent);
- Households with no children under the age 18 (2.7 to 3.1 percent);
- Households with other, non-Hispanic reference persons (2.3 to 3.3 percent);
- Households with income above 185 percent of the poverty line (1.2 to 1.5 percent);
- Households inside metropolitan areas (2.7 to 3.1 percent) and outside principal cities within metropolitan areas (2.2 to 2.8 percent); and,
- Households in the South (3.1 to 3.6 percent) and West (2.5 to 3.0 percent) regions of the United States.

There were no statistically significant declines in 30-day very low food security from 2022 to 2023.

Just over half (55 percent) of households that were food insecure sometime during the 12 months before the survey (18.0 million households) (Rabbitt et al., 2024) were food insecure at some time during the 30 days from mid-November to mid-December 2023 (9.9 million households; table S-4); the corresponding statistic for very low food security was 62 percent (6.8 million households during 12 months before the survey versus 4.2 million households during the 30 days before the survey). If food insecurity during this 30-day period was like that for other 30-day periods throughout the year, then these comparisons imply the average household that was food insecure at some time during the year experienced this condition in 7 months of the year. Likewise, the average household with very low food security experienced that condition in 8 months of the year. However, analysis of food insecurity in different months suggests that food insecurity is somewhat more prevalent in the summer months (July–September) than in the March–April and November–December periods. The reason is perhaps because of less access to school meal programs during the summer (Cohen et al., 2002; Nord & Romig, 2006; Nord & Kantor, 2006), so typical frequencies may be somewhat higher than the 7–8 months implied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The number of months is estimated as the monthly rate, divided by the annual rate, multiplied by 12.

Table S-4
U.S. households by food security status during the 30 days before the food security survey and selected household characteristics, 2023

						Food i	nsecure		
Category	Total <sup>1</sup>	Food	secure	,	ΑII		owfood curity		y lowfood urity
	1,000	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent	1,000	Percent
All households	132,532	122,608	92.5	9,924	7.5	5,692	4.3	4,232	3.2
Household composition									
With children < 18 years	36,216	32,666	90.2	3,550	9.8	2,285	6.3	1,265	3.5
With children < 6 years	14,453	13,083	90.5	1,370	9.5	870	6.0	500	3.5
Married-couple families	23,805	22,421	94.2	1,384	5.8	987	4.1	397	1.7
Female head, no spouse	8,799	7,039	80.0	1,760	20.0	1,051	11.9	709	8.1
Male head, no spouse	3,187	2,838	89.0	349	11.0	207	6.5	142	4.5
Other household with child <sup>2</sup>	425	367	86.4	58	13.6	NA	NA	NA	NA
With no children < 18 years	96,316	89,943	93.4	6,373	6.6	3,406	3.5	2,967	3.1
More than one adult	56,059	53,145	94.8	2,914	5.2	1,644	2.9	1,270	2.3
Women living alone	21,831	19,743	90.4	2,088	9.6	1,113	5.1	975	4.5
Men living alone	18,427	17,057	92.6	1,370	7.4	649	3.5	721	3.9
With an adult age 65 and older	43,683	41,537	95.1	2,146	4.9	1,309	3.0	837	1.9
Adult age 65 and older living	16,613	15,664	94.3	949	5.7	524	3.1	425	2.6
alone Race/ethnicity of household referen		.,							
White, non-Hispanic	85,275	80,588	94.5	4,687	5.5	2,659	3.1	2,028	2.4
Black, non-Hispanic	17,020	14,878	87.4	2,142	12.6	1,212	7.1	930	5.5
Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	19,593	17,245	88.0	2,348	12.0	1,431	7.3	917	4.7
Other, non-Hispanic	10,644	9,898	93.0	746	7.0	390	3.7	356	3.3
Household income-to-poverty ratio	10,0 1 1	0,000	00.0	7.10	710	000	017	000	0.0
Under 1.00	11,725	9,061	77.3	2,664	22.7	1,360	11.6	1,304	11.1
Under 1.30	16,599	13,063	78.7	3,536	21.3	1,826	11.0	1,710	10.3
Under 1.85	27,231	21,961	80.6	5,270	19.4	2,833	10.5	2,437	8.9
1.85 and over	77,973	74,882	96.0	3,091	4.0	1,899	2,5	1,192	1.5
Income unknown	27,328	25,766	94.3	1,562	5.7	960	3.5	602	2,2
Area of residence <sup>4</sup>	21,320	23,700	34.3	1,502	3.7	300	3.3	002	2.2
Inside metropolitan area	114,065	105,700	92.7	8,365	7.3	4,792	4.2	3,573	3.1
In principal cities <sup>5</sup>	37,405	34,172	91.4	3,233	8.6	1,837	4.9	1,396	3.7
Not in principal cities	59,419	55,477	93.4	3,942	6.6	2,300	3.8	1,642	2.8
Outside metropolitan area	18,467	16,910	91.6	1,557	8.4	899	4.8	658	3.6
Census geographic region	10,407	10,310	31.0	1,557	0.4	099	טיב	000	5.0
Northeast	22,679	21,239	93.7	1,440	6.3	835	3.6	605	2.7
Midwest	28,428	26,422	92.9	2,006	7.1	1,143	4.1	863	3.0
South	51,797	47,489	92.9 91.7	4,308	8.3	2,438	4.1	1,870	3.6
West	29,629	27,460	91.7	2,169	6.3 7.3	2,436 1,275	4.7	894	3.0

NA = Not reported; fewer than 10 households in the survey with this characteristic had very low food security within the past 30 days.

<sup>1</sup>The 30-day prevalence rates refer to the 30-day period from mid-November to mid-December; the survey was conducted during the week of December 10–19, 2023. Totals exclude households for which food security status is unknown because the households did not give a valid response to any of the questions in the 30-day food security scale. In 2023, these exclusions represented 183,000 households (0.1 percent of all households). The 30-day statistics for 2004 and earlier years were based on a different methodology and are not comparable with these statistics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Households with children in complex living arrangements (e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder).

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mbox{Hispanic}$  respondents may be of any race.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Metropolitan area residence is based on 2013 Office of Management and Budget delineation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 15 percent of households in metropolitan statistical areas.

Figure S-1
Prevalence of 30-day food insecurity in the United States, 2022 and 2023

		cent of seholds 2023	Percentage point change	○2022
All households	7.0	7.5	0.5*	
Household composition				
With children < 18 years	9.4	9.8	0.4	©
With children < 6 years	9.6	9.5	-0.2	<b>○</b>
Married-couple families	5.6	5.8	0.2	<b>~</b>
Female head, no spouse	18.3	20.0	1.7	O \$
Male head, no spouse	12.2	11.0	-1.3	
With no children < 18 years	6.1	6.6	0.5*	©
More than one adult	4.8	5.2	0.4	©
Women living alone	8.3	9.6	1.2*	0\$
Men living alone	7.7	7.4	-0.3	$\Diamond$
With an adult age 65+	4.9	4.9	0.0	<b>O</b>
Adult age 65+ living alone	5.9	5.7	-0.2	<b>○</b>
Race/ethnicity of household r	eferen	ce perso	ons	
White, non-Hispanic	5.2	5.5	0.3	©
Black, non-Hispanic	11.9	12.6	0.7	♥
Hispanic	11.3	12.0	0.7	∞
Other, non-Hispanic	5.8	7.0	1.2	<b>○</b>
Household income-to-poverty	y ratio			
Under 1.00	21.5	22.7	1.2	0\$
Under 1.30	20.3	21.3	1.0	$\otimes$
Under 1.85	18.3	19.4	1.0	<b>○</b>
1.85 and over	3.4	4.0	0.6*	<b>⊘</b>
Area of residence				
Inside metropolitan area	6.9	7.3	0.5*	<b>©</b>
In principal cities	8.5	8.6	0.1	<b>O</b>
Not in principal cities	5.7	6.6	0.9* —	<b>○</b>
Outside metropolitan area	8.2	8.4	0.2	©
Census geographic region				
Northeast	6.6	6.3	-0.3	>
Midwest	7.2	7.1	-0.1	<b>◇</b>
South	7.9	8.3	0.4	<b>~</b>
West	5.7	7.3	1.6*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			0	5 10 15 20 25 30 3 Percent of households

<sup>\*</sup> An asterisk indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level (t > 1.645).

Note: The 30-day prevalence rates refer to the 30-day period from mid-November to mid-December each year.

Figure S-2
Prevalence of 30-day very low food security in the United States, 2022 and 2023

		ent of eholds	Percentage point	е	O 2002 A 2002	
	2022	2023	change		O 2022     ♦ 2023	
All households	2.8	3.2	0.4* -			
Household composition						
With children < 18 years	2.8	3.5	0.69* -	<b>○</b>		
With children < 6 years	2.5	3.5	0.93* -	0\$		
Married-couple families	1.4	1.7	0.29	<b>-</b> \$		
Female head, no spouse	6.2	8.1	1.81* -		0 \$	
Male head, no spouse	3.6	4.5	0.85	0\$		
With no children < 18 years	2.7	3.1	0.33* -			
More than one adult	2.0	2.3	0.27			
Women living alone	3.8	4.5	0.71	<b>O</b>		
Men living alone	3.9	3.9	0.04	<b>O</b>		
With an adult age 65+	1.9	1.9	-0.03	<b>O</b>		
Adult age 65+ living alone	2.6	2.6	-0.07	<b>O</b>		
Race/ethnicity of household re	eferen	ce pers	ons			
White, non-Hispanic	2.2	2.4	0.18 -	<b>~</b>		
Black, non-Hispanic	4.4	5.5	1.04	0 0		
Hispanic	4.0	4.7	0.65	0\$		
Other, non-Hispanic	2.3	3.3	1.04* -	00		
Household income-to-poverty	ratio					
Under 1.00	10.1	11.1	1.04 -		0\$	
Under 1.30	9.4	10.3	0.89		0\$	
Under 1.85	8.1	8.9	0.82		0\$	
1.85 and over	1.2	1.5	0.37* -	<b>\\ \\</b>		
Area of residence						
Inside metropolitan area	2.7	3.1	0.44* -	<b>──⊘</b>		
In principal cities	3.5	3.7	0.26	<b>~</b>		
Not in principal cities	2.2	2.8	0.58* -			
Outside metropolitan area	3.2	3.6	0.31	<b>∞</b>		
Census geographic region						
Northeast	2.5	2.7	0.20	<b>O</b>		
Midwest	2.7	3.0	0.29			
South	3.1	3.6	0.53* -			
West	2.5	3.0	0.55* -	<del></del>		
			0	5	10 15 Percent of households	2

Note: The 30-day prevalence rates refer to the 30-day period from mid-November to mid-December each year.

<sup>\*</sup> An asterisk indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level (t > 1.645).

### **Household Responses to Questions in the Food Security Scale**

The 18 food security questions asked about conditions, experiences, and behaviors that range widely in severity (items near the top of the lists in table S-5 are relatively less severe, whereas those nearer the bottom are more severe). Those indicating less severe food insecurity were observed in a larger proportion of households, and the proportion declined as severity increases (table S-5). The two least severe questions refer to uncertainty about having enough food and the experience of running out of food. The remaining 16 items indicate reduced quality, variety, or desirability of diets, as well as increasingly severe disruptions of normal eating patterns and reductions in food intake (for the complete question wording, box "Questions Used to Assess the Food Security of Households in the Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement" on page 26).

Table S-5
U.S. responses to items in the food security scale, 2020-23<sup>1</sup>

	Households affirming item <sup>3</sup>						
Scale item <sup>2</sup>	2020	2021	2022	2023			
		Per	cent				
Household items							
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy more	14.2	13.9	16.7	17.1			
Food bought didn't last, and (I/we) didn't have money to get more	11.4	11.0	14.0	14.3			
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals	11.3	11.3	14.2	15.3			
Adult items							
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	6.2	6.0	7.7	7.9			
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	6.3	6.2	7.9	8.4			
Adult(s) cut size or skipped meals in 3 or more months	4.7	4.6	6.0	6.2			
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford food	3.3	3.4	4.0	4.4			
Respondent lost weight	2.1	2.2	2.8	3.0			
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.6			
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day in 3 or more months	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.2			
Child items							
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)	12.9	10.1	13.1	14.0			
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals	7.7	6.8	9.5	9.9			
Child(ren) were not eating enough	3.6	3.0	3.9	4.1			
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	1.9	1.5	2.4	2.0			
Child(ren) were hungry	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.3			
Child(ren) skipped meals	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.8			
Child(ren) skipped meals in 3 or more months	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5			
Child(ren) did not eat for whole day	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Survey responses are weighted to population totals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The full wording of each question includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "... because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food" or "... because there wasn't enough money for food." For the complete question wording, see box "Questions Used to Assess the Food Security of Households in the Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement" on page 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Households not responding to an item are omitted from the calculations of percentages for that item. Households without children are omitted from the calculation of child-referenced items.

Three or more affirmative responses were required for a household to be classified as food insecure. All households in that category affirmed at least one item indicating reduced diet quality or disruption of normal eating patterns or a reduction in food intake. Most food-insecure households reported multiple indicators of these conditions. A large majority of households (70.9 percent of households with children and 80.6 percent of those without children) reported no problems or concerns in meeting their food needs (table S-6). Households that reported only one or two indications of food insecurity (11.2 percent of households with children and 7.5 percent of households without children) are also classified as food secure. Most of these households affirmed one or both of the first two items, indicating uncertainty about having enough food or about exhausting their food supply, but did not indicate actual disruptions of normal eating patterns or reductions in food intake. Although these households were classified as food secure, the food security of some households may have been marginal at times, especially in the sense that they lacked "assured ability to acquire acceptable foods in socially acceptable ways," a condition that the Life Sciences Research Office includes in its definition of food security (Anderson, 1990, p. 1598). Research examining health and children's development in these marginally food-secure households generally has indicated that outcomes were either intermediate between those in highly food-secure and food-insecure households or more closely resembled those in food-insecure households (Radimer & Nord, 2005; Winicki & Jemison, 2003; Wilde & Peterman, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Life Sciences Research Office (LSRO) is a nonprofit organization in Bethesda, MD. Concepts and definitions of food security and related conditions developed by LSRO for the American Institute of Nutrition (Anderson, 1990) provided key parts of the theoretical underpinnings of the household food security measure.

Table S-6
Percentage of U.S. households by food security raw score, 2023

	230110103 WITH CHING CH - 10	item household food security scale			
Raw score (number of food-insecure conditions reported)	Percent of households <sup>1</sup>	Cumulative percent of households <sup>1</sup>	Food security status		
0	70.88	70.88			
1	6.80	77.68	Food secure (82.08 percent)		
2	4.40	82.08	percent)		
3	3.80	85.88			
4	2.82	88.70	Low food security (12.4		
5	2.12	90.82	percent)		
6	2.18	93.00			
7	1.56	94.56			
8	1.47	96.03			
9	0.97	97.00			
10	0.88	97.88			
11	0.77	98.65			
12	0.49	99.14	Very low food security		
13	0.40	99.54	(5.44 percent)		
14	0.19	99.73			
15	0.13	99.86			
16	0.08	99.94			
17	0.02	99.96			
18	0.04	100.00			
Panel B: I	Households with children-	-8-item child food security scale			
Raw score (number of food-insecure conditions reported)	Percent of households <sup>1</sup>	Cumulative percent of households <sup>1</sup>	Food security status		
0	83.82	83.82	Children food secure		
1	7.27	91.09	(91.09 percent)		
2	4.65	95.74	Low food security		
3	2.36	98.10	among children (7.88		
4	0.87	98.97	percent)		
5	0.58	99.55			
6	0.13	99.68	Very low food security		
7	0.25	99.93	among children (1.03 percent)		
8	0.07	100.00	porconty		
Panel C: Ho	ouseholds with no children	—10-item adult food security scale			
Raw score (number of food-insecure conditions reported)	Percent of households <sup>1</sup>	Cumulative percent of households <sup>1</sup>	Food security status		
0	80.63	80.63	. Jour Journey Glatas		
1	4.37	85.00	Food secure (88.10		
2	3.10	88.10	percent)		
3	3.65	91.75			
4	1.80	93.55	Low food security (6.8		
5	1.44	94.99	percent)		
· ·		96.56			
6	1.57				
	1.57 1.28	97.84			
6		97.84 98.93	Very low food security		
6 7	1.28		Very low food security (5.01 percent)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Survey responses are weighted to population totals.

# Frequency of Occurrence of Behaviors, Experiences, and Conditions That Indicate Food Insecurity

Most of the questions used to calculate the food security scale also elicited information about how often the food-insecure behavior, experience, or condition occurred. The food security scale does not take all this frequency-of-occurrence information into account, but analysis of these responses can provide insight into the frequency and duration of food insecurity. Frequency-of-occurrence information is collected in the Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement (CPS-FSS) using two different methods:

- **Method 1:** A condition was described, and the respondent was asked if this condition was often, sometimes, or never true for their household during the past 12 months.
- **Method 2:** Respondents who answered "yes" to a question were asked, "How often did this happen—almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?"

Table S-7 presents responses to each food security question, broken down by reported frequency of occurrence for all households interviewed in the December 2023 survey. Questions using method 1 are presented in the top panel of the table, and those using method 2 are presented in the bottom panel. Most households that responded affirmatively to method 1 questions reported that the behavior, experience, or condition occurred "sometimes," whereas 17 to 25 percent (depending on the specific question) reported that it occurred "often."

In response to method 2 questions (i.e., conditional on affirming the general question) 27–34 percent of respondents reported that the behavior, experience, or condition occurred "in almost every month." Additionally, 38–54 percent reported that it occurred in "some months, but not every month," and 19 to 33 percent reported that it occurred "in only 1 or 2 months."

Table S-8 presents the same frequency-of-occurrence response statistics for households classified as having very low food security.

Table S-7

Frequency of occurrence of behaviors, experiences, and conditions indicating food insecurity reported by all U.S. households, 2023<sup>1</sup>

					Frequency of	occurren	ce	
Condition <sup>2</sup>		Ever durin	g the year	Often	Sometimes	Often	Sometimes	
		F	ercent of al	l househol	ds	Percent of "ever during the year" <sup>3</sup>		
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy more			17.1	4.2	12.9	24.0	76.0	
Food bought didn't last, and (I/we) didn't have money to get more		1	4.3	2.8	11.5	20.0	80.0	
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals		1	5.3	3.8	11.5	25.0	75.0	
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)		1	4.0	3.1	10.9	22.0	78.0	
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals		9.9		1.7	8.2	17.0	83.0	
Child(ren) were not eating enough			4.1	0.7	3.4	17.0	83.0	
			F	requency	of occurrence			
		Some months						
Condition <sup>2</sup>	Ever during the year	Almost every month	but not every month	In only 1 or 2 months	Almost every month	but not every month	In only 1 or 2 months	
	P	ercent of al	l household	s	Percent of "e	ever durir	ng the year"3	
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	7.9	2.7	3.5	1.6	34.0	45.0	21.0	
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	8.3	2.6	3.8	1.9	31.0	46.0	23.0	
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford food	4.4	1.5	1.8	1.1	34.0	41.0	25.0	
Respondent lost weight	3.0	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	1.6	0.5	0.6	0.4	34.0	41.0	25.0	
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	2.0	0.5	1.1	0.4	27.0	54.0	19.0	
Child(ren) were hungry	1.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	32.0	41.0	27.0	

NC = Frequency of occurrence information was not collected for these conditions.

Child(ren) skipped meals

Child(ren) did not eat for whole day

0.2

NC

0.3

29.0

NC

0.2

NC

38.0

NC

33.0

NC

8.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Survey responses are weighted to population totals. Households not responding to an item or not responding to the follow-up question about frequency of occurrence are omitted from the calculation of percentages for that item. Households without children are omitted from the calculation of percentages for child-referenced items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The full wording of each question includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Columns labeled "Percent of 'ever during the year'" show the distribution by frequency of occurrence for households that indicate they experienced the condition "ever during the year" (shown in the first column of statistics).

Table S-8

Frequency of occurrence of behaviors, experiences, and conditions indicating food insecurity reported by U.S. households with very low food security, 2023<sup>1</sup>

					Frequency	of occurre	nce
Condition <sup>2</sup>		Ever durir	g the year	Often	Sometimes	Often	Sometimes
			- Percent on very low f				of "ever during e year" <sup>3</sup>
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy more		9	7.8	45.7	52.1	47.0	53.0
Food bought didn't last, and (I/we) didn't have money to get more		9	7.1	36.2	60.9	37.0	63.0
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals		96	5.2	40.4	55.8	42.0	58.0
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)		93	3.3	33.3	59.9	36.0	64.0
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals		85	5.6	23.0	62.6	27.0	73.0
Child(ren) were not eating enough		52	2.1	11.3	40.7	22.0	78.0
				Frequer	ncy of occurre	nce	
Condition <sup>2</sup>	Ever during the year	Almost every month	Some months but not every month	In only 1 or 2 months	Almost every month	Some months but not every month	In only 1 or 2 months
			household security -		Percent of	"ever duri	ng the year" <sup>3</sup>
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	96.5	43.7	43.8	9.0	45.0	45.0	9.0
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	92.8	40.0	42.8	10.0	43.0	46.0	11.0
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford food	68.3	27.1	29.3	11.9	40.0	43.0	17.0
Respondent lost weight	51.1	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	29.9	10.6	12.4	6.8	35.0	42.0	23.0
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	30.9	9.7	15.8	5.4	31.0	51.0	17.0
Child(ren) were hungry	22.0	7.8	9.1	5.1	36.0	41.0	23.0
Child(ren) skipped meals	13.4	4.1	5.1	4.2	31.0	38.0	31.0
Child(ren) did not eat for whole day	1.8	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC

NC = Frequency of occurrence information was not collected for these conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Survey responses are weighted to population totals. Households not responding to an item or not responding to the follow-up question about frequency of occurrence are omitted from the calculation of percentages for that item. Households without children are omitted from the calculation of percentages for child-referenced items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The full wording of each question includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Columns labeled "Percent of 'ever during the year'" show the distribution by frequency of occurrence for households that indicate they experienced the condition "ever during the year" (shown in the first column of statistics).

### **Monthly and Daily Occurrence of Food-Insecure Conditions**

Respondents also reported whether the behaviors and experiences that indicate food insecurity had occurred during the 30 days before the survey. For seven of these behaviors and experiences, respondents also reported how many days the condition had occurred during that period. Table S-9 summarizes responses to these questions. <sup>10</sup>

Table S-9

Monthly and daily occurrence of behaviors, experiences, and conditions indicating food insecurity reported by all U.S. households, 2023<sup>1</sup>

		F					
	Francisco	Number of	f days out of p	Monthly	A		
Condition <sup>2</sup>	Ever during previous 30 days	1-7 days	8-14 days	15-30 days	average occurrence	Average daily prevalence	
		Perce	ent <sup>3</sup>		Days <sup>3</sup>	Percent <sup>3</sup>	
Worried food would run out before (I/we) got money to buy more	8.71	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Food bought didn't last, and (I/we) didn't have money to get more	7.90	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Couldn't afford to eat balanced meals	8.79	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Adult(s) cut size of meals or skipped meals	5.44	64.0	16.0	19.0	8.0	1.45	
Respondent ate less than felt he/she should	5.24	59.0	16.0	26.0	9.4	1.65	
Respondent hungry but didn't eat because couldn't afford food	2.96	62.0	16.0	22.0	8.5	0.84	
Respondent lost weight	1.93	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Adult(s) did not eat for whole day	1.09	70.0	14.0	16.0	7.3	0.26	
Relied on few kinds of low-cost food to feed child(ren)	8.17	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Couldn't feed child(ren) balanced meals	5.75	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Child(ren) were not eating enough	2.65	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	
Cut size of child(ren)'s meals	1.43	65.0	17.0	19.0	7.4	0.35	
Child(ren) were hungry	1.00	69.0	NA	22.0	8.8	0.29	
Child(ren) skipped meals	0.53	79.0	NA	21.0	8.2	0.14	
Child(ren) did not eat for whole day	NA	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	

NC = Number of days of occurrence was not collected for these conditions.

NA = Number of days of occurrence was not reported because there were fewer than 10 households in the survey with responses for that question and category.

<sup>1</sup>Survey responses are weighted to population totals. The 30-day and daily statistics refer to the 30-day period from mid-November to mid-December; the survey was conducted during the week of December 10–19, 2023.

<sup>2</sup>The full wording of each question includes explicit reference to resource limitation, e.g., "...because (I was/we were) running out of money to buy food," or "...because there wasn't enough money for food."

Note: Beginning with the 2019 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement data and continuing in 2023, the variables for the number of days out of the previous 30 days in which food-insecure conditions were experienced are now reported in categories. The midpoint of the categories was used to estimate the average daily prevalence. The category ranges enable reporting of the conditions in 1–7-day, 8–14-day, and 15–30-day increments as in previous annual reports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Households without children are excluded from the denominator of child-referenced items.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Beginning with the 2019 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement data collection and continuing in 2023, all continuous variables for the number of days out of the previous 30 days that food-insecure conditions occurred are only released after being categorized into ranges of the number of days that a condition occurred. The result was a less precise estimate of the average daily prevalence of food-insecure conditions. The midpoint of the range is used to calculate the average daily prevalence. The average daily prevalence for each condition is still calculated as the product of the 30-day prevalence and the average number of days experienced divided by 30. In the past annual food security reports, these estimates were then used to approximate the average daily prevalence of very low food security. That approximation for the daily prevalence of very low food security is no longer presented, given the less precise estimates of average daily prevalence for the conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Average daily prevalence for these questions was calculated as the product of the 30-day prevalence and the average number of days divided by 30.

# Food Spending by Food-Secure and Food-Insecure Households

Usual food spending was adjusted for household size and composition in two ways. First, researchers divided each household's usual weekly food expenditure by the number of household members, yielding the "usual weekly food spending per person" for that household. The second adjustment more precisely accounted for the different food needs of households by comparing each household's usual food spending with the estimated cost of the USDA Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for that household in December 2023 (for a complete discussion of these methods, see Rabbitt et al., 2024). Each household's reported usual weekly food spending was divided by the household-specific cost of the TFP based on the age- and gender-specific cost of the TFP for each household member and the number of persons in the household (U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, 2024).

Food-secure households typically spent more on food than food-insecure households of similar age-gender composition. The pattern of higher median food spending relative to the cost of the TFP by food-secure households was consistent for many groups across household structure, race, ethnicity, residence, and geographic region (table S-10).<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Estimates of median weekly food spending relative to the household cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for December 2023 were not comparable with estimates for years before 2021. The cost of the TFP was revised in 2021 to reflect updated data on food prices, food composition, consumption patterns, and current dietary guidance.

Table S-10

Weekly U.S. household food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) by food security status and selected household characteristics, 2023

	Median weekly food spending, relative to December 2023 TFP <sup>1</sup>			
Category	Food secure	Food insecure		
	Ratio (Cost of TFP = 1.0)			
All households	1.18	1.02		
Household composition				
With children < 18 years	1.05	0.91		
At least one child < 6 years	1.07	0.97		
Married-couple families	1.07	0.95		
Female head, no spouse	1.00	0.89		
Male head, no spouse	1.04	0.85		
Other household with child <sup>2</sup>	NA	NA		
With no children < 18 years	1.21	1.09		
More than one adult	1.18	0.98		
Women living alone	1.35	1.29		
Men living alone	1.36	1.20		
With an adult age 65 and older	1.14	0.89		
Adult age 65 and older living alone	1.18	1.13		
Race/ethnicity of household reference persons				
White, non-Hispanic	1.21	1.03		
Black, non-Hispanic	1.05	0.98		
Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	1.08	0.97		
Other, non-Hispanic	1.14	1.10		
Household income-to-poverty ratio				
Under 1.00	0.96	0.88		
Under 1.30	0.92	0.88		
Under 1.85	0.95	0.89		
1.85 and over	1.26	1.16		
Income unknown	1.10	1.05		
Area of residence <sup>4</sup>				
Inside metropolitan area	1.20	1.04		
In principal cities <sup>5</sup>	1.20	1.06		
Not in principal cities	1.21	0.99		
Outside metropolitan area	1.07	0.91		
Census geographic region				
Northeast	1.24	1.05		
Midwest	1.14	1.04		
South	1.16	0.98		
West	1.21	1.03		

NA = Median not reported; fewer than 100 interviewed households in the category.

Note: Beginning with the 2015 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement, food spending amounts are categorized in public-use data.

Statistics exclude households that did not answer the questions about spending on food or reported zero usual food spending and those that did not provide valid responses to any of the questions on food security. These exclusions represented 6.7 percent of all households. Estimates of median weekly food spending relative to the household cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for December 2023 were not comparable to estimates for years prior to 2021. This is because the cost of the TFP was revised in 2021 to reflect updated data on food prices, food composition, and consumption patterns, and current dietary guidance.

<sup>2</sup>Households with children in complex living arrangements (e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hispanic respondents may be of any race.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Metropolitan area residence is based on 2013 Office of Management and Budget delineation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 15 percent of households in metropolitan statistical areas.

### **Use of Community Nutrition Assistance**

The December 2023 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement (CPS-FSS) included questions about the use of two types of community-based food and nutrition assistance programs (for more information, see box on page 27 "Community Food and Nutrition Assistance Programs"). All households with incomes below 185 percent of the Federal poverty threshold were asked these questions. To minimize the burden on respondents, households with incomes above that range were not asked the questions unless they indicated some level of difficulty in meeting their food needs on preliminary screener questions.

The questions analyzed in this supplement are:

• "In the last 12 months, did you or anyone in your household ever get free groceries from a food pantry, food bank, church, or other place that helps with free food?"

The use of these resources any time during the last 12 months is referred to as "receipt of free groceries." Households that reported receiving free groceries in the last 12 months were asked, "How often did this happen—almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?" Households reporting that they did not receive free groceries in the last 12 months were asked, "Is there a food pantry, food bank, church, or other place in your community where you could get free groceries if you needed it?"

"In the last 12 months, have (you/you or anyone in your household) received a free meal from a
church, shelter, home-delivered meal service like Meals on Wheels, or other place that helps with free
meals?"

The use of this resource is referred to as "receipt of free meals" in the following discussion.

Table S-11 presents estimates of the number of households that obtained free groceries from food pantries or other organizations or ate free meals from charitable organizations one or more times during the 12-month period ending December 2023. Estimates of the proportion of households receiving free meals based on CPS-FSS almost certainly understate the proportion of the population that uses these providers. The CPS selects households to interview from an address-based list and, therefore, interviews only persons who occupy housing units. People who were homeless at the time of the survey were not included in the sample, and those in tenuous housing arrangements (e.g., temporarily doubled-up with another family) also may have been missed. These two factors (exclusion of the homeless and underrepresentation of those who are tenuously housed) biased the estimates of receipt of free meals use downward, especially among certain population subgroups. The downward bias is likely lessened by the changes to the question wording since it is more inclusive of different types of free meals received. However, the address-based sampling for CPS-FSS would still exclude some users. The effect of these factors is much less true for receipt of free groceries because cooking facilities are needed to use most items. <sup>12</sup>

Table S-12 compares the food security of low-income households that received free groceries and free meals from charitable organizations with that of low-income households that did not use these charitable organizations. Table S-13 shows receipt of free groceries by household composition, race, ethnicity, income, and residence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Previous studies of emergency kitchen or shelter users and food pantry users confirm these assumptions. For example, a nationally representative survey of people who use food pantries and emergency kitchens found that about 36 percent of emergency kitchen clients and 8 percent of households that received food from food pantries were homeless in 2001 (Briefel et al., 2003).

Table S-11

Use of community food and nutrition assistance in the United States, 2023

	Received free groceries			Received free meal		
Category	Total households <sup>1</sup>	Users		Total households <sup>1</sup>	Users	
	1,000	1,000	Percent	1,000	1,000	Percent
All households	131,772	9,315	7.1	131,675	2,962	2.3
All persons in households	328,763	24,398	7.4	328,392	6,617	2.0
Adults in households	257,129	17,614	6.9	256,847	5,070	2.0
Children in households	71,634	6,784	9.5	71,544	1,547	2.2
Households by food security status						
Food-secure households	114,077	3,938	3.5	114,014	1,424	1.3
Food-insecure households	17,637	5,376	30.5	17,603	1,530	8.7
Households with low food security	10,973	2,744	25.0	10,944	785	7.2
Households with very low food security	6,665	2,632	39.5	6,659	745	11.2

Note: Statistics for free groceries and free meals are not comparable to years prior to 2022 for use of food pantries and emergency kitchens because of changes in question wording.

<sup>1</sup>Total weighted number of households exclude households that did not answer the question about receipt of community food and nutrition assistance. Totals in the bottom section also exclude households that did not answer any of the questions in the food security scale.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using data from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 2023 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

Table S-12

Prevalence of food security and food insecurity of U.S. households with annual incomes of less than 185 percent of the poverty line, by use of community food and nutrition assistance, 2023

		Food insecure			
Category	Food secure	All	With low food security	With very low food security	
	Percent				
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line					
Received free groceries in the previous 12 months	41.9	58.1	29.7	28.4	
Did not receive free groceries in the previous 12 months	73.4	26.6	17.1	9.5	
Received free meal in the previous 12 months	47.3	52.7	26.0	26.7	
Did not receive free meal in the previous 12 months	67.9	32.1	19.4	12.7	

Note: Statistics for free groceries and free meals are not comparable to years prior to 2022 for use of food pantries and emergency kitchens because of changes in question wording.

Table S-13

Receipt of free groceries, by selected U.S. household characteristics, 2023

Category	Total <sup>1</sup>	Free grocery recipients		
	1,000	1,000	Percent	
All households	131,772	9,315	7.1	
Household composition				
With children < 18 years	35,934	2,983	8.3	
At least one child < 6 years	14,339	1,187	8.3	
Married-couple families	23,654	1,177	5.0	
Female head, no spouse	8,685	1,518	17.5	
Male head, no spouse	3,170	244	7.7	
Other household with child <sup>2</sup>	425	NA	NA	
With no children < 18 years	95,838	6,331	6.6	
More than one adult	55,859	3,051	5.5	
Women living alone	21,662	1,993	9.2	
Men living alone	18,318	1,288	7.0	
With an adult age 65 and older	43,487	3,027	7.0	
Adult age 65 and older living alone	16,541	1,489	9.0	
Race/ethnicity of household reference persons				
White, non-Hispanic	84,959	4,317	5.1	
Black, non-Hispanic	16,803	2,152	12.8	
Hispanic <sup>3</sup>	19,465	2,175	11.2	
Other, non-Hispanic	10,545	671	6.4	
Household income-to-poverty ratio				
Under 1.00	11,590	3,124	27.0	
Under 1.30	16,397	4,212	25.7	
Under 1.85	26,907	5,916	22.0	
1.85 and over	77,801	2,177	2.8	
Income unknown	27,064	1,221	4.5	
Area of residence <sup>4</sup>				
Inside metropolitan area	113,400	7,472	6.6	
In principal cities <sup>5</sup>	37,104	3,002	8.1	
Not in principal cities	59,073	3,296	5.6	
Outside metropolitan area	18,373	1,843	10.0	
Census geographic region				
Northeast	22,532	1,289	5.7	
Midwest	28,286	2,248	7.9	
South	51,509	3,540	6.9	
West	29,445	2,237	7.6	

NA = Not reported; fewer than 10 households in the survey with this characteristic reported receiving free groceries.

Note: Statistics for free groceries are not comparable to years prior to 2022 for use of food pantries because of changes in question wording.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Totals exclude households that did not answer the question about receiving free groceries. These exclusions represented 0.6 percent of all households.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Households with children in complex living arrangements (e.g., children of other relatives or unrelated roommate or boarder).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hispanic respondents may be of any race.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Metropolitan area residence is based on 2013 Office of Management and Budget delineation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Households within incorporated areas of the largest cities in each metropolitan area. Residence inside or outside of principal cities is not identified for about 15 percent of households in metropolitan statistical areas.

# Food Spending by Households That Received Food and Nutrition Assistance

Table S-14 presents median food spending, relative to the cost of the December 2023 Thrifty Food Plan (TFP), by households that received food and nutrition assistance and by nonparticipant households in similar low-income ranges.<sup>13</sup>

Table S-14

Weekly U.S. household food spending relative to the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan by participation in selected Federal and community food and nutrition assistance programs, 2023

Category	Median weekly food spending relative to cost of the December 2023 TFP <sup>1</sup>		
	Ratio (cost of TFP = 1.0)		
Income less than 130 percent of poverty line			
Received SNAP benefits in the previous 30 days	0.91		
Did not receive SNAP benefits in the previous 30 days	0.90		
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line; school-age children in household			
Received NSLP free or reduced-price school lunch in the previous 30 days	0.85		
Did not receive NSLP free or reduced-price school lunch in the previous 30 days	0.79		
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line; children under age 5 in household			
Received WIC in the previous 30 days	0.88		
Did not receive WIC in the previous 30 days	0.88		
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line			
Received free groceries in the previous 12 months	0.84		
Did not receive free groceries in the previous 12 months	0.96		

TFP = Thrifty Food Plan. SNAP = Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, formerly the Food Stamp Program. NSLP = National School Lunch Program. WIC = Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children.

Note: Beginning with the 2015 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement, food spending amounts are categorized in public use data. Statistics for food spending by receipt of free groceries are not comparable to years prior to 2022 for food spending by food pantry use because of changes in question wording.

<sup>1</sup>Estimates of median weekly food spending (relative to the household cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for December 2023) are not comparable to estimates of years prior to 2021 because the cost of the TFP was revised in 2021 to reflect updated data on food prices, food composition, consumption patterns, and current dietary guidance.

Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using data from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 2023 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

# Food Security During the 30 Days Before the Food Security Survey in Households That Received Food and Nutrition Assistance

Table S-15 presents prevalence rates of food insecurity and very low food security during the 30-day period before the food security survey for households that received selected types of food and nutrition assistance and for nonparticipant households in similar low-income ranges. This complements table 8 of the *Household Food Security in the United States in 2023* report (Rabbitt et al., 2024), which is based on the 12-month measure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Food purchased with SNAP benefits is included in household food spending as calculated here. However, the value of school lunches and food obtained through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) is not included. Food from these sources supplemented the food purchased by many of these households.

Measured food insecurity and reported use of food and nutrition assistance programs are more likely to refer to contemporaneous conditions when both are referenced to the previous 30 days than when one or both is referenced to the previous 12 months. From 2022 to 2023, there were no significant increases for 30-day food insecurity for households that received selected types of food and nutrition assistance and for nonparticipant households in similar low-income ranges (Table S-15).

From 2022 to 2023, the prevalence of 30-day very low food security increased for households that did not receive WIC benefits in the previous 30 days (from 4.5 percent to 8.7 percent).

Table S-15

Prevalence of food insecurity in the United States during the 30 days before the food security survey, by participation in selected Federal and community food and nutrition assistance programs, 2023<sup>1</sup>

Category	Food insecure in 30 days before survey (low or very low food security)	Very low food secure in 30 days before survey	
	Percent		
Income less than 130 percent of poverty line			
Received SNAP benefits in the previous 30 days	28.3	13.6	
Received SNAP benefits every month during the previous 12 months	27.5	13.3	
Received SNAP benefits in the previous 12 months but not previous 30 days (SNAP leavers)	40.4	22.5	
Did not receive SNAP benefits in the previous 12 months	15.0	7.2	
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line; school-age children in household			
Received NSLP free or reduced-price school lunch in the previous 30 days	25.6	10.1	
Did not receive NSLP free or reduced-price school lunch in the previous 30 days	14.7	5.3	
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line; children under age 5 in household			
Received WIC in the previous 30 days	20.9	9.6	
Did not receive WIC in the previous 30 days	21.2	8.7	
Income less than 185 percent of poverty line			
Received free groceries in the previous 30 days	42.2	23.2	
Did not receive free groceries in the previous 30 days	15.8	6.8	

SNAP = Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, formerly the Food Stamp Program. NSLP = National School Lunch Program. WIC = Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children.

Note: Statistics for free groceries and free meals are not comparable to years prior to 2022 for use of food pantries and emergency kitchens because of changes in question wording.

<sup>1</sup>The 30-day prevalence rates refer to the 30-day period from mid-November to mid-December; the survey was conducted during the week of December 10–19, 2023.

# **Combined Use of Federal and Community Food and Nutrition Assistance**

Both Federal and community food and nutrition assistance programs are potential resources for food insecure and low-income households. Designing and managing these programs to function effectively together as a nutrition safety net requires knowing how they complement and supplement each other. The extent to which households that participate in Federal food and nutrition assistance programs also receive assistance from community food assistance programs provides information about these relationships (table S-16).

Table S-16

Combined use of Federal and community food and nutrition assistance programs by low-income U.S. households, 1 2023

Category	Share of category that obtained free groceries	Share of free grocery recipients in category	Share of category that obtained free meal	Share of free meal recipients in category	
	Percent				
Received SNAP benefits in the previous 30 days	34.9	45.0	12.0	47.6	
Received NSLP free or reduced-price school lunch in the previous 30 days	26.3	26.4	5.6	17.2	
Received WIC benefits in the previous 30 days	24.8	6.7	6.9	5.8	
Participated in one or more of the three Federal programs	31.4	61.1	9.7	58.5	
Did not participate in any of the three Federal programs	15.0	38.9	5.2	41.5	

SNAP = Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, formerly the Food Stamp Program. NSLP = National School Lunch Program. WIC = Special Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program for Women, Infants, and Children.

Note: Statistics for free groceries and free meals are not comparable to years prior to 2022 for use of food pantries and emergency kitchens because of changes in question wording.

<sup>1</sup>Analysis is restricted to households with annual incomes less than 185 percent of the poverty line because most households with incomes above that range were not asked whether they participated in food and nutrition assistance programs.

#### Questions Used to Assess the Food Security of Households in the Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement

- 1. "We worried whether our food would run out before we got money to buy more." Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 12 months?
- 2. "The food that we bought just didn't last and we didn't have money to get more." Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 12 months?
- 3. "We couldn't afford to eat balanced meals." Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 12 months?
- 4. In the last 12 months, did you or other adults in the household ever cut the size of your meals or skip meals because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 5. (If yes to question 4) How often did this happen—almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?
- 6. In the last 12 months, did you ever eat less than you felt you should because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 7. In the last 12 months, were you ever hungry, but didn't eat, because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 8. In the last 12 months, did you lose weight because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 9. In the last 12 months, did you or other adults in your household ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 10. (If yes to question 9) How often did this happen—almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?

#### (Questions 11-18 were only asked if the household included children ages 0-17)

- 11. "We relied on only a few kinds of low-cost food to feed our children because we were running out of money to buy food." Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 12 months?
- 12. "We couldn't feed our children a balanced meal, because we couldn't afford that." Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 12 months?
- 13. "The children were not eating enough because there wasn't enough money for food." Was that often, sometimes, or never true for you in the last 12 months?
- 14. In the last 12 months, did you ever cut the size of any of the children's meals because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 15. In the last 12 months, were the children ever hungry because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 16. In the last 12 months, did any of the children ever skip a meal because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)
- 17. (If yes to question 16) How often did this happen—almost every month, some months but not every month, or in only 1 or 2 months?

#### Questions Used to Assess the Food Security of Households in the Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement

18. In the last 12 months, did any of the children ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food? (Yes/No)

#### **Coding of Responses**

Questions 1–3 and 11–13 are coded as affirmative (i.e., possibly indicating food insecurity) if the response is "often" or "sometimes." Questions 5, 10, and 17 are coded as affirmative if the response is "almost every month" or "some months but not every month." The remaining questions are coded as affirmative if the response is "yes."

#### Assessing Food Security Status in Households Without Children

Households without children are classified as food insecure if they report 3 or more indications of food insecurity in response to the first 10 questions; they are classified as having very low food security if they report 6 or more food-insecure conditions out of the first 10 questions.

#### Assessing Food Security Status in Households with Children Ages 0-17

Households with children are classified as food insecure if they report 3 or more indications of food insecurity in response to the entire set of 18 questions; they are classified as having very low food security if they report 8 or more food-insecure conditions in response to the entire set of 18 questions.

The food security status of children in the household is assessed by responses to the child-referenced questions (questions 11–18). Households reporting two or more of these conditions are classified as having food insecurity among children. Households reporting five or more are classified as having very low food security among children.

#### **Community Food and Nutrition Assistance Programs**

Community food and nutrition assistance programs include food pantries and emergency kitchens or shelters and other organizations. These agencies are locally based and rely heavily on volunteers. Most of them are affiliated with faith-based organizations (Feeding America, 2014; Ohls et al., 2002). Organizations providing free groceries, such as food pantries, and organizations providing free meals, such as shelters or Meals on Wheels programs, play different roles. Food pantries distribute unprepared foods for offsite use, and free meal providers can provide individuals with prepared food to eat onsite or deliver meals to clients' homes. Most of the food distributed by these organizations comes from local resources, but USDA supplied more than 1.5 billion pounds of USDA Foods to TEFAP in fiscal year 2023. This food was provided to community emergency food providers for distribution to the public.

#### References

- Anderson, S. A. (1990). Core indicators of nutritional state for difficult-to-sample populations. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 120, 1555–1598.
- Briefel, R., Jacobson, J., Clusen, N., Zavitsky, T., Satake, M., Dawsen, B., & Cohen, R. (2003). *The Emergency Food Assistance System—findings from the client survey* (Report No. E-FAN-03-007). Prepared by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., for U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Cohen, B., Nord, M., Lerner, R., Parry, J., & Yang, K. (2002). *Household food security in the United States*, 1998 and 1999: Technical Report (Report No. E-FAN-02-010). Prepared by IQ Solutions and USDA, Economic Research Service.
- Feeding America. (2014). *Hunger in America 2014*. Prepared by Westat and the Urban Institute for Feeding America.
- Gundersen, C., & Gruber, J. (2001). The dynamic determinants of food insecurity. In M. Andrews & M. Prell (Eds.), *Second Food Security Measurement and Research Conference, Volume II: Papers* (Vol. FANRR-11-2, pp. 92–110). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Nord, M. (2002). A 30-day food security scale for Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement data. (Report No. E-FAN-02-015). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Nord, M., & Brent, C. P. (2002). *Food insecurity in higher income households* (Report No. E-FAN-02-016). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Nord, M., & Kantor, L. S. (2006). Seasonal variation in food insecurity is associated with heating and cooling costs among low-income elderly Americans. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 136(11), 2939–2944.
- Nord, M., & Romig, K. (2006). Hunger in the summer: Seasonal food insecurity and the National School Lunch and Summer Food Service programs. *Journal of Children and Poverty 12*(2), 141–158.
- Ohls, J. C., Saleem-Ismail, F., Cohen, R., & Cox, B. (2002). *The Emergency Food Assistance System study—* Findings from the provider survey, Volume II: Final report (Report No. FANRR-16-2). Prepared by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., for USDA, Economic Research Service.
- Rabbitt, M. P., Hales, L. J., Burke, M. P., & Coleman-Jensen, A. (2023). *Statistical supplement to household food security in the United States in 2022* (Report No. AP-119). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Rabbitt, M. P., Reed-Jones, M., Hales, L. J., & Burke, M. P. (2024). *Household food security in the United States in 2023* (Report No. ERR-337). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Radimer, K. L., & Nord, M. (2005, March 31–April 5). Associations of household, adult, and child food security with health conditions: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2002. Experimental Biology annual research conference, San Diego, CA, United States.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion. (2024). Official USDA Thrifty Food Plan: U.S. average, December 2023. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion.
- Wilde, P. E., & Peterman, J. N. (2006). Individual weight change is associated with household food security status. *Journal of Nutrition* 136, 1395–1400.
- Winicki, J., & Jemison, K (2003). Food insecurity and hunger in the kindergarten classroom: Its effect on learning and growth. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 21(2), 145–156.