



www.ers.usda.gov

Economic Impacts of Foreign Animal Disease

Philip L. Paarlberg, Ann Hillberg Seitzinger, John G. Lee, and Kenneth H. Mathews, Jr.

Abstract

This report presents a modeling framework in which epidemiological model results are integrated with an economic model of the U.S. agricultural sector to enable estimation of the economic impacts of outbreaks of foreign-source livestock diseases. To demonstrate the model, the study assessed results of a hypothetical outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease (FMD). The modeling framework includes effects of the FMD episode on all major agricultural products and assesses these effects on aggregate supply, demand, and trade over 16 quarters. Model results show a potential for large trade-related losses for beef, beef cattle, hogs, and pork, though relatively few animals are destroyed. The swine and pork sectors recover shortly after assumed export restrictions end, but effects on the beef and cattle sectors last longer due to the longer cattle production cycle. The best control strategies prove to be those that reduce the duration of the outbreak. While export embargoes lead to losses for many agricultural sectors, they also increase domestic supplies and lower prices, benefiting domestic consumers. Total losses to livestockrelated enterprises over 16 quarters range between \$2,773 million and \$4,062 million, depending on disease intensity level, duration of the outbreak, and the response scenario. After seven quarters, production of all commodities returns to pre-disease levels in our hypothesized scenario.

Keywords: Animal disease, epidemiology, foot and mouth disease (FMD), sector model, trade

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Missy Schoenbaum (Colorado State University), Jennifer Grannis (U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)), Lovell Jarvis (University of California at Davis), Barry Krissoff (USDA/Economic Research Service (ERS)), William Lin (USDA/ERS), Michael Livingston (USDA/ERS), Kristyn Stone (USDA/APHIS), Nora E. Wineland (USDA/APHIS), Alex Winter-Nelson (University of Illinois, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics), Jake AcMoody (Purdue University), and one anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments. Thanks also to ERS editorial staffers Courtney Knauth, editor, and Cynthia A. Ray, designer, for their help in producing this report.

About the Authors

Philip L. Paarlberg and John G. Lee are Professors in the Department of Agricultural Economics, Purdue University. Ann Hillberg Seitzinger is an economist with the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Centers for Epidemiology and Animal Health, Veterinary Services, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. Kenneth H. Mathews, Jr., is an Agricultural Economist with the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service.

Recommended citation for this publication:

Paarlberg, Philip L., Ann Hillberg Seitzinger, John G. Lee, and Kenneth H. Mathews, Jr. *Economic Impacts of Foreign Animal Disease*. ERR-57. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Econ. Res. Serv. May 2008.

Contents

List of Figures

Pa	age
Figure 1. Economic modeling component for analyzing the effects of foreign animal disease on U.S. agricultural sectors	3
Figure 2. Price of pork (carcass cutout value)	16
Figure 3. Price of hogs	16
Figure 4. Pork output	17
Figure 5. Returns to capital and management, pork processors to retailers	18
Figure 6. Returns to capital and management, hog producers	19
Figure 7. Consumer surplus for pork	19
Figure 8. Price of beef (carcass cutout value)	20
Figure 9. Price of cattle	21
Figure 10. Beef output	21
Figure 11. Net returns to capital and management, beef processors to retailers.	22
Figure 12. Net returns to capital and management, beef cattle producers	23
Figure 13. Consumer surplus for beef	24
Figure 14. Retail price for milk	24
Figure 15. Retail price of poultry meat	25
Figure 16. Net returns to capital and management, poultry meat	25

List of Appendix Tables

	Page
Table 1. Unit revenue shares for cattle, broilers, and beef used in model	. 62
Table 2. Unit revenue shares for swine, eggs, and pork used in model	. 63
Table 3. Unit revenue shares for milk, lambs and sheep, and poultry used in model	. 64
Table 4. Unit revenue shares for lamb and sheep meat and coarse grain used in model	. 67
Table 5. Unit revenue shares for forage and wheat used in model	. 67
Table 6. Unit revenue shares for rice and soybeans used in model	. 68
Table 7. Price elasticities for final goods	. 68
Table 8. Elasticities of substitution in meat production relative to capital	. 68
Table 9. Elasticities of substitution in livestock relative to coarse grains	. 69
Table 10. Elasticities of substitution for crops relative to capital	. 69
Table 11. Elasticities used in model solution to capture animal dynamics	. 69
Table 12. Elasticities for international trade used in model	. 70
Table 13. Elasticities for ending stocks	. 70
Table 14. Animals destroyed in a hypothetical FMD outbreak	. 70
Table 15. Changes in aggregate net returns to capital and management.	. 71