

Final Report

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many at USDA made important contributions to this study. We would particularly like to acknowledge the helpful feedback and support that Jane Allshouse, our project officer at the Economic Research Service (ERS), provided throughout all stages of the project. Others at ERS who helped guide the study design and who commented on drafts of the report include David Smallwood, Betsy Frazao, and Joanne Guthrie. Staff at the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) also made important contributions to the project. Anita Singh, from the FNS Office of Analysis, Nutrition, and Evaluation, and Linda Jupin, from the Child Nutrition Division, gave us feedback throughout the study. Linda Jupin also answered many technical questions about program rules or helped direct us to other sources. Susan Fouts and John Endahl, both of FNS, made valuable comments on the draft reports. Two other FNS staff, Joan Tressler and Jeff Derr, provided key program data. We also are grateful to the following FNS regional office staff, who helped promote the study to state agencies and sponsors, and helped us resolve problems with the data collection process: Sharon Bevan, Alan Crane, Dick Gilbert, Mary Gioiosa, John Hanna, Doraetta Mozon, and Ellen Wahlberg.

We want to express our appreciation to the staff at SFSP state agencies, local sponsors, former sponsors, and sites who responded to the survey questions, provided lists of sponsors and sites, and permitted us to observe SFSP operations at local sites. Despite the substantial demands of participating in this study, all these individuals were enormously helpful and cooperative.

Many hardworking, dedicated staff supported MPR's data collection efforts, which were led by Laura Kalb. Milena Rosenblum, Laura's assistant throughout the project, was a key factor in the study's success. Milena worked on every phase of the data collection, from initial calls to states for sample lists, to quality assurance review for the sponsor surveys, to conducting many state interviews, to coordinating collection of lists for the Sponsor-Site Database. Donna Mikolajewski monitored project costs throughout the project.

The sponsor survey was directed by Lindsay Crozier, with assistance from Barbara Schiff. Barbara also helped collect sample frame information, planned the field training, conducted state interviews, and monitored the survey of former sponsors. Theresa Boujada supervised telephone center operations for the surveys of sponsors and former sponsors. Bea Jones developed the Access databases that were key to tracking data collection for the study and the Sponsor-Site Database that was delivered to ERS. Bea also helped collect sample frame information and conducted state interviews. Neil DeLeon, Mark Dentini, Linda Gentzik, and Ron Palanca provided programming support for the surveys. Sue Golden and her staff performed yeoman work in data entry of sample frame lists, survey instruments, and, finally, lists of all 2001 sponsors and sites (close to 40,000 records).

Francene Barbour coordinated field efforts for the site visits, with help from Mike Haas, Adrienne Wilschek, and LaShona Burkes. They were responsible for sending a staff of 15 field interviewers to 38 states, helping them with travel arrangements, and dealing with any problems that arose. (Adrienne and LaShona also helped with the Sponsor Survey, and Adrienne later

conducted state interviews.) At Garcia Research Associates, a subcontractor to MPR, Steve Goodwillie coordinated Garcia's field interviewers, who handled California site visits.

The sampling efforts for the study were led by Anne Peterson, who built on design work by Michael Sinclair. Brenda Cox and Daniel Kasprzyk provided quality assurance review of sampling work. Miki Satake provided programming support in selecting the samples, and Darryl Creel programmed and documented the weights.

Nutritional coding of the data was conducted by Kate Gilstad and Jennifer Cohen, under the supervision of Ronette Briefel and Teresa Zavitsky.

Analysis of the data involved a large team, in addition to the authors. Jim Ohls reviewed the report and provided valuable advice throughout the study. Vatsala Karwe, Daisy Ewell, Laura Folks, Joshua Hart, Jocelyn Lewis, Lucy Lu, and Sherry McDonald provided programming support. Laura Berenson edited the report, greatly contributing to its readability. William Garrett coordinated report production.

CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I	INTRODUCTION..... 1
A.	THE SFSP 1
1.	What Is the SFSP?..... 1
2.	History of the Program..... 7
3.	Participation and Participation Rates 11
B.	STUDY OBJECTIVES AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS 12
C.	STUDY DESIGN AND DATA SOURCES 15
1.	Study Design 15
2.	Data Collection..... 16
D.	PLAN OF THE REPORT 18
II	PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS..... 19
A.	CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE AGENCIES 20
B.	CHARACTERISTICS OF SPONSORS 23
1.	Overview of Sponsors' Characteristics 23
2.	Characteristics of Different Types of Sponsors 29
3.	Changes in Characteristics of Sponsors Since 1986 32
C.	CHARACTERISTICS OF SITES..... 38
1.	Overview of Sites 38
2.	Comparison of Sites with School Sponsors and Sites with Nonschool Sponsors 43
3.	Changes in Site Characteristics Since 1986 45
D.	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS..... 48
E.	SITE SCHEDULING AND TRANSPORTATION ISSUES 51

CONTENTS *(continued)*

Chapter	Page
III	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION..... 57
A.	STAFFING AND FUNDING 58
1.	State-Level Staffing and Funding 59
2.	Sponsor Staffing and Funding..... 63
3.	State Payments to Sponsors..... 73
B.	SPONSOR APPLICATIONS 77
C.	TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE..... 82
1.	State Training of Sponsors 82
2.	State Technical Assistance to Sponsors 85
3.	Sponsor Training and Technical Assistance to Sites..... 91
D.	PROGRAM MONITORING 94
1.	State Monitoring of Sponsors and Sites 97
2.	Sponsors' Monitoring of Sites..... 101
E.	VENDOR/FOOD SERVICE MANAGEMENT..... 104
1.	Use of Vendors..... 104
2.	Reasons Why Most Sponsors Prepared Meals 105
3.	Reasons Why Some Sponsors Contracted with Vendors..... 105
4.	Selecting and Monitoring Vendors 109
IV	PROGRAM PARTICIPATION AND OUTREACH..... 113
A.	STAFFS' VIEWS ON PARTICIPATION LEVELS..... 114
1.	State Administrators' Views on Participation 114
2.	Sponsors' and Former Sponsors' Views on Participation and Program Expansion..... 117
3.	Site Supervisors' Views on Capacity Constraints and Barriers to Participation 123

CONTENTS *(continued)*

Chapter	Page
B. EFFORTS TO EXPAND THE PROGRAM.....	126
1. State Agency Outreach.....	126
2. Sponsors' Efforts to Increase Participation at Their Sites.....	131
3. Sites' Efforts to Increase Participation.....	137
C. NEW SPONSORS	137
D. SPONSORS THAT LEAVE THE PROGRAM	141
1. State Agencies' Strategies to Promote Sponsor Retention.....	141
2. Characteristics of Former Sponsors and Current Sponsors.....	143
3. Reasons Why Former Sponsors Left the Program	146
V MEAL SERVICE	157
A. CHARACTERISTICS OF MEAL SERVICE	159
1. Meal Service Characteristics	159
2. Disposition of Available Meals	161
3. Handling and Storage of Food	164
4. Meal Order Adjustment and Transport of Food Prepared Off Site.....	166
B. CONTENT OF MEALS SERVED	168
1. Most Frequently Served Foods	168
2. Food Preferences of Participants.....	176
3. Nonschool Sponsors' Compliance with SFSP Meal Pattern.....	179
4. Nutrient Content of Meals Served.....	186
C. EXTENT OF PLATE WASTE.....	202
1. Nutrients Wasted on Plates	202
2. Foods Wasted on Plates.....	210
3. Reasons for Food Waste.....	215

CONTENTS (continued)

Chapter	Page
VI SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	217
A. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	217
1. Key Findings	218
2. Issues for the Future	219
B. PARTICIPATION.....	220
1. Key Findings	220
2. Issues for the Future	221
3. Future Research.....	222
C. MEAL SERVICE.....	223
1. Key Findings	223
2. Issues for the Future	224
3. Future Research.....	227
REFERENCES.....	229
APPENDIX A: DATA COLLECTION METHODS	A.1
APPENDIX B: SAMPLING AND SAMPLE WEIGHTS.....	B.1
APPENDIX C: PROFILES OF SFSP SITES	C.1
APPENDIX D: DETAILED TABULATIONS FROM THE 2001 SPONSOR-SITE DATABASE.....	D.1
APPENDIX E: NUTRIENT AND FOOD CODING ANALYSIS.....	E.1
APPENDIX F: SUPPLEMENTAL MEAL SERVICE TABLES	F.1
APPENDIX G: SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES FOR CHAPTER III	G.1

TABLES

Table		Page
I.1	SFSP MAXIMUM PER-MEAL REIMBURSEMENT RATES FOR ALL STATES EXCEPT ALASKA AND HAWAII, 2001	6
I.2	DATA COLLECTION FOR THE SFSP IMPLEMENTATION STUDY	17
II.1	CHARACTERISTICS OF STATE AGENCIES	21
II.2	SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF SPONSORS	24
II.3	SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF SPONSORS, BY TYPE OF SPONSOR.....	30
II.4	SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF SPONSORS, BY SCHOOL/NONSCHOOL SPONSOR	33
II.5	NUMBER OF SFSP SPONSORS, SITES, AND PARTICIPANTS, BY TYPE OF SPONSOR, JULY 1986 AND JULY 2001.....	35
II.6	CHANGES IN SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF SPONSORS SINCE 1986.....	37
II.7	SELECTED SITE CHARACTERISTICS	39
II.8	SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF SITES, BY SCHOOL/NONSCHOOL SPONSOR	44
II.9	CHANGES IN SELECTED SITE CHARACTERISTICS SINCE 1986	46
II.10	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS.....	49
II.11	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS IN 1986 AND 2001	50
II.12	SITE SCHEDULE AND ATTENDANCE	52
II.13	FACTORS AFFECTING DAY-TO-DAY VARIATION IN PARTICIPATION AT SITE	53
II.14	TRANSPORTATION TO SITE.....	54
II.15	CHARACTERISTICS OF SITES THAT PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION	56
III.1	STATE-LEVEL STAFFING.....	60

TABLES (continued)

Table		Page
III.2	STATE AGENCIES' REPORTS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THEIR STAFFING, BY FUNCTION AND OVERALL.....	61
III.3	CHANGES IN STATE AGENCY STAFFING.....	62
III.4	STATE AGENCY FUNDING.....	64
III.5	SPONSORS' REPORTS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THEIR STAFFING, BY FUNCTION AND OVERALL.....	66
III.6	SPONSORS' REPORTS ON SFSP REIMBURSEMENTS AND OTHER FUNDING SOURCES.....	69
III.7	EXPERIENCED SPONSORS' COST-CONTROL STRATEGIES.....	72
III.8	STATE AGENCIES' VIEWS ON THE USE OF ADVANCE AND START-UP FUNDS.....	75
III.9	STATE ADMINISTRATORS' VIEWS ON THE PILOT PROJECT.....	76
III.10	PROCESSING OF SPONSOR APPLICATIONS.....	80
III.11	SPONSORS' COMMENTS ON THE APPLICATION PROCESS.....	81
III.12	STATE TRAINING OF SPONSORS.....	83
III.13	NUMBER OF SPONSORS' STAFF ATTENDING STATE TRAINING.....	84
III.14	TOPICS COVERED IN STATE TRAINING OF SPONSORS.....	86
III.15	STATE ADMINISTRATORS' PERSPECTIVE ON TOPICS GIVING SPONSORS DIFFICULTY DURING OR AFTER STATE TRAINING, BY SPONSORS' EXPERIENCE.....	88
III.16	AREAS OF STATE AGENCIES' TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	89
III.17	SPONSORS' VIEWS ON TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FROM STATE AGENCIES.....	90
III.18	SPONSOR-PROVIDED TRAINING FOR SITE STAFF.....	92
III.19	SITE SUPERVISORS' VIEWS ON TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FROM SPONSORS.....	95
III.20	STATE AGENCIES' REVIEWS OF SPONSORS AND SITES.....	98

TABLES (continued)

Table		Page
III.21	MULTISITE SPONSORS' MONITORING OF SITES	102
III.22	SPONSOR TYPE, BY SELF-PREPARED AND VENDED MEALS	106
III.23	REASONS SPONSORS PREPARE MEALS RATHER THAN CONTRACT WITH A VENDOR.....	107
III.24	SPONSORS' VIEWS ON ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF VENDORS	108
III.25	VENDOR BIDS AND VENDOR MONITORING	110
IV.1	STATE ADMINISTRATORS' VIEWS ON WHY PARTICIPATION IS LOW	116
IV.2	SPONSORS' IDENTIFICATION OF THE MAIN BARRIERS TO INCREASED PARTICIPATION	118
IV.3	SPONSORS' INTEREST IN EXPANDING THE NUMBER OF SITES	119
IV.4	REASONS FOR SPONSORS' LACK OF INTEREST IN EXPANDING THE NUMBER OF SITES	121
IV.5	SPONSORS' VIEWS ON INCREASING THE LENGTH OF THE SUMMER SESSION.....	122
IV.6	SITE CAPACITY CONSTRAINTS ON PARTICIPATION	124
IV.7	SITE SUPERVISORS' VIEWS ON BARRIERS TO CHILDRENS' PARTICIPATION AT SITE	125
IV.8	STATE AGENCIES' WORK WITH PARTNER ORGANIZATIONS	127
IV.9	STATE AGENCIES' EFFORTS TO ATTRACT SPONSORS	129
IV.10	SPONSORS' STRATEGIES TO INCREASE PARTICIPATION	132
IV.11	FORMER SPONSORS' EFFORTS TO INCREASE PARTICIPATION	134
IV.12	SPONSORS' WORK WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS TO PROMOTE AND SUPPORT THE SFSP	135
IV.13	SITE SUPERVISORS' VIEWS ON PUBLICITY EFFORTS	138

TABLES (continued)

Table	Page
IV.14	COMPARISON OF SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF NEW AND CONTINUING SPONSORS..... 139
IV.15	STATE AGENCIES' EFFORTS TO RETAIN SPONSORS 142
IV.16	COMPARISON OF SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF FORMER SPONSORS AND CURRENT SPONSORS 144
IV.17	STATE ADMINISTRATORS' VIEWS ON WHY SPONSORS LEFT THE PROGRAM 147
IV.18	REASONS FORMER SPONSORS CITED FOR LEAVING THE PROGRAM 150
IV.19	CHANGES THAT COULD INDUCE FORMER SPONSORS TO RETURN TO THE PROGRAM 153
IV.20	FORMER SPONSOR SITES PICKED UP BY ANOTHER SPONSOR..... 156
V.1	SELECTED MEAL CHARACTERISTICS 160
V.2	DISPOSITION OF AVAILABLE MEALS 162
V.3	HANDLING AND STORAGE OF FOOD..... 165
V.4	MEAL ORDER ADJUSTMENT AND TRANSPORT OF FOOD PREPARED OFF SITE..... 167
V.5	FOODS MOST COMMONLY SERVED AT BREAKFAST, BY FOOD CATEGORY 169
V.6	FOODS MOST COMMONLY SERVED AT LUNCH, BY FOOD CATEGORY 171
V.7	FOOD PREFERENCES OF PARTICIPANTS, REPORTED BY SITE SUPERVISORS 177
V.8	MEAL COMPLIANCE AT BREAKFAST FOR NONSCHOOL SPONSORS..... 182
V.9	MEAL COMPLIANCE AT LUNCH FOR NONSCHOOL SPONSORS..... 183
V.10	MEAN ENERGY AND KEY NUTRIENTS SERVED AT SFSP BREAKFASTS AND COMPARISON WITH RDAs 189

TABLES (continued)

Table	Page
V.11	MEAN AND DISTRIBUTION OF ENERGY AND KEY NUTRIENTS SERVED ON A SINGLE DAY AT BREAKFAST 190
V.12	MEAN ENERGY AND KEY NUTRIENTS SERVED AT SFSP LUNCHES AND COMPARISON WITH RDAs 192
V.13	MEAN AND DISTRIBUTION OF ENERGY AND KEY NUTRIENTS SERVED ON A SINGLE DAY AT LUNCH..... 194
V.14	MEAN ENERGY AND KEY NUTRIENTS SERVED AT SFSP SUPPERS AND COMPARISON WITH RDAs..... 195
V.15	MEAN AND DISTRIBUTION OF ENERGY AND KEY NUTRIENTS SERVED ON A SINGLE DAY AT SUPPER 197
V.16	MEANS FOR OTHER NUTRIENTS SERVED AT SFSP BREAKFASTS AND COMPARISON WITH RDAs..... 198
V.17	MEANS FOR OTHER NUTRIENTS SERVED AT SFSP LUNCHES AND COMPARISON WITH RDAs 199
V.18	MEANS FOR OTHER NUTRIENTS SERVED AT SFSP SUPPERS AND COMPARISON WITH RDAs 200
V.19	COMPARISON OF MEAN NUTRIENT PROFILES FOR SFSP MEALS AND SCHOOL MEALS 201
V.20	MEAN AND PERCENTAGE OF NUTRIENTS WASTED AT BREAKFAST, BASED ON PLATE WASTE OBSERVATIONS 203
V.21	MEAN AND PERCENTAGE OF NUTRIENTS WASTED AT LUNCH, BASED ON PLATE WASTE OBSERVATIONS 205
V.22	MEAN AND PERCENTAGE OF NUTRIENTS WASTED AT SUPPER, BASED ON PLATE WASTE OBSERVATIONS 207
V.23	PERCENTAGE OF MOST COMMONLY SERVED BREAKFAST FOODS WASTED, BY FOOD CATEGORY 211
V.24	PERCENTAGE OF MOST COMMONLY SERVED LUNCH FOODS WASTED, BY FOOD CATEGORY 213