

Dear _____:

I am writing on behalf of the Economic Research Service's Peer Review Coordinating Council to see if you would be willing to review, by <date>, a draft manuscript entitled "title" (MS #). To help us with our planning, please let us know as soon as possible whether you will be able to review the manuscript within this time frame. (honorarium offered to non-Federal employees)

The manuscript is being considered for publication in ERS's Economic Brief series, which presents timely findings and their implications for a primary audience of public and private decisionmakers and their staff. After peer review and revision, it will go through editing and clearance by ERS and USDA. The draft is attached as a pdf file. (If you would prefer to receive a hard copy, please let me know.)

To help summarize your feedback, we ask that you respond to the questions below and provide an overall assessment of the manuscript's suitability for publication. You may include your review in the body of an email message or as an attachment in Word or pdf format.

1. Is the topic timely and important?
2. Are appropriate economic/social science principles, data, and methods employed?
3. Are they clearly explained?
4. Do the findings follow clearly and logically from the discussion?
5. Does the output communicate concisely and effectively to its intended audience?
6. Are the tables and figures (if any) appropriate in content and number?
7. Are the title and abstract useful and appropriate?

In keeping with peer review procedures at ERS, the authors' names are not revealed in the manuscript at the review stage, and we offer you the opportunity to remain anonymous (to the authors) as well, if you wish. (To meet USDA requirements, we may need to identify you to ERS senior executives and USDA's Office of Communications.) If you do not choose to remain anonymous, we will share your name with the authors and acknowledge your contribution when the report is published.

On behalf of the Peer Review Coordinating Council, thank you for contributing to the quality of ERS publications.

Dear _____:

I am writing on behalf of the Economic Research Service's Peer Review Coordinating Council to see if you would be willing to review, by <date>, a draft manuscript entitled "title" (MS#). To help us with our planning, please let us know as soon as possible whether you will be able to review the manuscript within this time frame. (honorarium offered to non-Federal employees)

The manuscript is being considered for publication in ERS's Economic Information Bulletin series, which presents new economic information in a concise format to public and private decisionmakers and their staff as well as researchers. After peer review and revision, it will go through editing and clearance by ERS and USDA. The draft is attached as a pdf file. (If you would prefer to receive a hard copy, please let me know.)

To help summarize your feedback, we ask that you respond to the questions below and provide an overall assessment of the manuscript's suitability for publication. You may include your review in the body of an email message or as an attachment in Word or pdf format.

1. Is the topic timely and important?
2. Are appropriate economic/social science principles, data, and methods employed?
3. Are they clearly explained?
4. Do the findings follow clearly and logically from the discussion?
5. Does the output communicate concisely and effectively to its intended audience?
6. Are the tables and figures (if any) appropriate in content and number?
7. Are the title and abstract useful and appropriate?

In keeping with peer review procedures at ERS, the authors' names are not revealed in the manuscript at the review stage, and we offer you the opportunity to remain anonymous (to the authors) as well, if you wish. (To meet USDA requirements, we may need to identify you to ERS senior executives and USDA's Office of Communications.) If you do not choose to remain anonymous, we will share your name with the authors and acknowledge your contribution when the report is published.

On behalf of the Peer Review Coordinating Council, thank you for contributing to the quality of ERS publications.

Dear _____:

I am writing on behalf of the Economic Research Service's Peer Review Coordinating Council to see if you would be willing to review, by <date>, a draft manuscript entitled "title" (MS #). To help us with our planning, please let us know as soon as possible whether you will be able to review the manuscript within this time frame. (honorarium offered to non-Federal employees)

The manuscript is being considered for publication in ERS's Economic Research Report series, which presents original economic analysis, findings and implications for decisionmakers' staff and researchers. After peer review and revision, it will go through editing and clearance by ERS and USDA. The draft is attached as a pdf file. (If you would prefer to receive a hard copy, please let me know.)

To help summarize your feedback, we ask that you respond to the questions below and provide an overall assessment of the manuscript's suitability for publication. You may include your review in the body of an email message or as an attachment in Word or pdf format.

1. Is the topic timely and important?
2. Are appropriate economic/social science principles, data, and methods employed?
3. Are they clearly explained?
4. Do the findings follow clearly and logically from the discussion?
5. Does the output communicate concisely and effectively to its intended audience?
6. Are the tables and figures (if any) appropriate in content and number?
7. Are the title and abstract useful and appropriate?

In keeping with peer review procedures at ERS, the authors' names are not revealed in the manuscript at the review stage, and we offer you the opportunity to remain anonymous (to the authors) as well, if you wish. (To meet USDA requirements, we may need to identify you to ERS senior executives and USDA's Office of Communications.) If you do not choose to remain anonymous, we will share your name with the authors and acknowledge your contribution when the report is published.

On behalf of the Peer Review Coordinating Council, thank you for contributing to the quality of ERS publications.