ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	System (Ag		Agri-Foo	od Environm	nmental Economic Data							
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	TB		[X]	Influential Sci	ientific Informa	ition						
Agency:	Economic Re USDA	search Service	[]		ntial Scientific							
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov											
Subject of Review:	research on and docume extended sy attributes of expansive a statistical accomplete accounts of multiplier redoubles ecceptures up in convention over 40 distand (iv) appropriate annual pure facilitate resequence exsource data	nrently used model, and submodel, and submodel to 11 perceronal measures tinct food copolies account ate measures chases of over	onomy a DS, an onal accori-food of ial gove modeling our food for our astainable industry at more as of food modifying and of the ser \$2 trille describition, write outer cool	nd markets, acconomy-wide ounts with exconomy. ER rement data and best practed economy. If Food Dollar and common annual food of GDP, (iii) by and market modeling relequence of a lion in food acception entirely and ten entirely and ten entirely and ten produced to produced to produced to produced to the primary data and the primary data and the produced to produce	this bulleting de environment de env	introduces entally salient fied an d applied ace a more n to the ERS s, SNAP FEEDS (i) e, (ii) not included and measures supply chains, at produce minating in es. To and specify in eebra. Open-						
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.											
Type of Review:		[] Pane	l Review		[X] Indiv	idual Reviewers						
		[] Alter	rnative Pr	ocess (Briefly I	Explain):							
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start:	9/30/2024	4		Completed	d: 3/17/2025						
Number of Reviewers	: [X]	3 or fewer	[]	4 to 10	[] More	than 10						
Primary Disciplines/T	ypes of Expert	ise Needed for I	Review:	Economists								
Reviewers selected by	r: [X]	Agency		[]	Designated Ou	itside						

	Organization				ation
Opportunities for Public Comment? If yes, briefly state how and when these opportu How: When:		Yes l be pro		[X]	No
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[]	Yes Yes		[X] [X]	No No