## **ERS Peer Review Plan**

Preliminary Title:	Agricultural Science Policy and the Farm Bill										
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EB										
				[X]	Influential	l Sc	ientific Iı	nformation			
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	earch Se	rvice	[ ]	Highly Inf	flue	ntial Scie	entific Asses	ssment		
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire	, kelly.b	.maguire(	@usda.	gov						
Subject of Review:	Public investments in agricultural research and development (R&D) have been a major driver of long-term productivity growth in U.S. agriculture and have generated significant economic returns. In 2021, total federal, state, and nongovernment spending on public agricultural R&D was \$5.0 billion, with more than two-thirds coming from the Federal Government. When adjusted for inflation, spending in 2021 was 36 percent less than its peak of \$7.7 billion in 2002 (in 2021 dollars). The USDA's National Institute for Food and Agriculture (NIFA) is the primary source for Federal extramural grants for agricultural research by state land grant universities and cooperating institutions; NIFA research grants have moved toward greater use of competitive grants with away from capacity (formula) funding to states. By 2024, NIFA funding for research, education, and extension that target minority-serving land-grant institutions has risen to nearly 15 percent of NIFA's total budget authority.										
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.										
Type of Review:		[]	Panel R	eview			[X]	Individual	Reviewers		
		[ ]	Alternat	ive Pro	ocess (Brie	fly	Explain):	:			
Timing of Review (Est	t.): Start:	12/1′	7/2024				Cor	mpleted:			
Number of Reviewers:	[ ]	3 or fewer		[X]	4 to 10		[ ]	More than	10		
Primary Disciplines/Ty	pes of Expertis	se Neede	d for Rev	view:	Economi	sts					
Reviewers selected by	: [X]	Agency			[	]	Designa Organiz	ted Outside ation			
Opportunities for Publ If yes, briefly sta How: When:		en these o	opportuni	[] ties wi	Yes ll be provid	ded	[X] :	No			

Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[ ] Yes [ ] Yes	[X] No [X] No	