ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Food Banks in the United States: Systems, Programs, and Participation									
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EIB									
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	search Service	[X] []		cientific Information ential Scientific Ass					
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov									
Subject of Review:	Food banks and pantries collectively distribute free groceries to millions of U.S. residents every year, providing an important component of food acquisitions and forming part of the safety net that serves those in need. While privately run, food banks are impacted by government policies and programs, with an increasing proportion of their food supplies coming from government sources, predominantly via The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP) and, to a lesser extent, the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) which serves seniors. Both TEFAP and CSFP provide "USDA Foods," which are domestically produced foods that USDA purchases and makes available to organizations that provide food to households or individuals in need. This report examines the current state of food bank systems from a national level, describing the size and resources of local and regional food bank networks, programs that provide USDA Foods to food banks and their affiliates, and household use of food bank services. It includes a look back at trends and events that have shaped the current state of food banks, with a focus on 2007-2021, with additional information for 2022, 2023 and 2024 where data is available.									
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.									
Type of Review:		[] Pane	el Review		[X] Individua	al Reviewers				
		[] Alter	rnative Pr	ocess (Briefly	Explain):					
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start:	10/09/202	4		Completed:					
Number of Reviewers	: []	3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[] More tha	n 10				
Primary Disciplines/T	Types of Experti	se Needed for	Review:	Economists	;					
Reviewers selected by	7: [X]	Agency		[]	Designated Outsid	le				

Opportunities for Public Comment?		Yes		No
If yes, briefly state how and when these opportu	ınities wi	ll be provided	:	
How:				
When:				
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?	[]	Yes	[X]	No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[]	Yes	[X]	No