ERS Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	-	-	_	-	entary claims of on milk and you				
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EB	0 0	•						
			[X]	Influential S	cientific Information	on			
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	earch Service	[]	Highly Influ	ential Scientific As	sessment			
Agency Contact:	Kelly Maguire, kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov								
Subject of Review:	packaging, in of calcium), types of input presents a quality claims that page 2022 shows the number complement consumers' fluid milk at these two data were used that were used for yogurt procomplement that required	environments used in repair to farm which claims of claims that tary (appear attention (appear attention (appear to identified on 95.6 oducts. Mostary claims of farmers, sugether, and ogether, and ogether, and ogether of the environments of the e	aims about a stew making to be aseline a product a appear at appearing the data s. Label fy foods percent at dairy product a fell into appliers, claims the stew of the stew o	out human had ardship (U) the food (not on the use of the use of the most freed most freed on proof ther), and was on separate revealed a value of milk proproducts had two distinct or retailers and required	y a variety of classification (low fat, grants), on-GMO). This soft label claims, leads. Descriptive squently on food lucts, which ones competed products). The wide range of classification claims. Aducts and on 97 d multiple claims of groups. Verification onew activities werlapped.	good source and the study highlighting statistics for packaging, es were beted for e focus is on aims on erly IRI) at least one a.8 percent as.			
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.								
Type of Review:		[] Pane	el Review		[X] Individu	al Reviewers			
		[] Alte	rnative Pr	ocess (Briefly	Explain):				
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start:	10/28/202	4		Completed:	12/20/2024			
Number of Reviewers	: []	3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[] More th	an 10			
Primary Disciplines/T	Types of Expertis	se Needed for	Review:	Economists					
Reviewers selected by	7: [X]	Agency		[]	Designated Outsi Organization	de			

Opportunities for Public Comment?		Yes		No
If yes, briefly state how and when these opportu	ınities wi	ll be provided	:	
How:				
When:				
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?	[]	Yes	[X]	No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[]	Yes	[X]	No