Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title: Program	Cost Implications of Participant Product Selection in the Women, Infants and Children Program						
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	ERR						
Agency:	Economic Res USDA	earch Service	[X] []		tial Scientific Influential Sc		
Agency Contact:	Kelly B. Maguire <kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov></kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov>						
Subject of Review:	The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) is the third largest food assistance program in the United States. Because WIC participants receive WIC foods free of charge, they lack an explicit incentive to minimize food costs by shopping at low-cost WIC-authorized vendors or selecting less expensive products, brands, or packages at a given food retailer. Thus, each WIC State agency faces the challenge of controlling program costs, while simultaneously maintaining participant satisfaction by providing options to participants when shopping for WIC foods. WIC State agencies seek to strike this balance in a multitude of ways. One of the most common cost-containment tools is to require participants to purchase the least expensive brand (LEB) of a WIC-authorized product in certain food categories. Little is presently known about the cost implications associated with WIC participants choosing expensive brands, products, and package sizes.						
Purpose of Review: The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.							
Type of Review:		[] Panel	Review			[X]	Individual Reviewers
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):							
Timing of Review (E	Est.): Start:	2/8/2019	Com	pleted:	6/3/2020	Witho	drawn: XX/XX/19
Number of Reviewer	rs []	3 or fewer		[x]	4 to 10	[]	More than 10
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review:				Economists			
Reviewers selected b	y: [X]	Agency	Orgar	nization'	[] s Name:	Designa	ted Outside Organization
Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When:						No	
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No	