## **Peer Review Plan**

| Preliminary Title:  | International Trade and Tropical Deforestation   |                  |  |                                    |            |               |          |
|---|--|------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------|---------------|----------|
| Type of Report<br>(ERR, EIB, EB,<br>TB, SOR,)               | ERR  |                  |  |                                    |            |               |          |
| Agency:   | Economic Rese<br>USDA  | earch Service    | [X]<br>[ ]   | Influential Scie<br>Highly Influen |            |               | ment     |
| Agency Contact:   | Cindy Nickerson, cnickerson@ers.usda.gov   |                  |  |                                    |            |               |          |
| Subject of Review:  | Increasing global population and demand for food has led to increasing agricultural production and demand for land; often this has come from deforestation of tropical forests. These forests support diverse ecosystems and provide environmental benefits such as biodiversity and carbon storage. This study analyzes patterns of deforestation in select tropical countries to examine which commodities contribute most to deforestation. Deforestation in South America is linked with production of beef and soybeans, while deforestation in Indonesia and Malaysia is linked with production of palm oil and wood products. Although the U.S. does not have direct influence on land used for these products in tropical countries, the U.S. can influence world markets for these products by increasing supply, increasing yield, and identifying substitutes in the case of palm oil. A global economic model is used to identify potential policies that could impact tropical forest loss. |                  |  |                                    |            |               |          |
| Purpose of Review:  | The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.   |                  |  |                                    |            |               |          |
| Type of Review:   |  | [ ] Panel        | Review   |                                    | [X]        | Individual Re | eviewers |
|   |  | [ ] Altern       | ative Pı   | rocess (Briefly B                  | explain    | ):            |          |
| Timing of Review (E   | st.): Start:   | 08/11/16         | End:   | 12/6/16                            | Wit        | thdrawn:      | X/X/16   |
| Number of Reviewers   | s: []  | 3 or<br>fewer    | [x]  | 4 to 10                            | [ ]        | More than 10  | )        |
| Primary Disciplines/I                                       | Types of Experti   | se Needed for R  | eview:   | Economists                         |            |               |          |
| Reviewers selected by: [X]                                  |  | Agency           | [ ] Designated Outside<br>Organization<br>Organization's Name: |                                    |            |               |          |
| Opportunities for Pub<br>If yes, briefly st<br>How:<br>When |  | en these opportu | [ ]<br>inities v   |                                    | [X]        | No            |          |
| :<br>Peer Reviewers Provi<br>Public Nominations F           |  |                  | []   | Yes<br>Yes                         | [X]<br>[X] | No<br>No      |          |