Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	An Update of 0	Census Tract	Measure	s of Low-Inco	ome and Lov	v Supermark	et Access		
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	ЕВ								
			[X]		l Scientific I				
Agency:	Economic Rese USDA	earch Service	e []	Highly In	fluential Scie	entific Assess	sment		
Agency Contact:	Cindy Nickerson, cnickerson@ers.usda.gov								
Subject of Review: Purpose of Review:	Limited access make it harder distance may be updated estimation income and low location of supfamily income classified as loo that are classified decreased from or share of peodareas, or if it we for these proxidecrease in low tracts that are the (an increase of measure; and 3 measures, there vehicle Access number of hou nearest supermacross all hous LI tracts, this reaccess and 20 The purpose of transparent expressions.	for some And the barriers to the barriers to the barriers to the barriers to the barriers and the barriers a	nericans to food acces. Food Acces access ong with the nerican Co. I) increased to the nerican Co. I) increased to the nerican Co. In other and I was a constant to the nerican constant to the nerica	be eat a health ass for many a less Research in the United 2010-2014 day mannity Su ed 5.43 perces solely by proper words, few and 10 or 20 he increase in there was a single was a single and 10 measure and 10 measure enumber of the	y diet. Incom Americans. I Atlas census States with a tata on housel rvey. The nu- ent from 201 oximity to the wer tracts have the nearest s miles from to low-income mall net increased. In 2013 our; 236 for to the nearest state of the contrast low access to didition to pro- te more than (of a lower levels at the nearest state of the contrast the nearest state of the contrast low access to didition to pro- te more than (of a lower levels at the nearest state of the contrast the nearest state of the nearest state of the contrast the nearest state of the ne	ne, transporta This brief pro s tract measu 2015 data on hold vehicle a mber of tract 0. The number d a significan upermarket i he nearest sup tracts outnut ease in the nu 5 compared v the LILA 1 at to the proxim racts for the I eximity, cons 0.5 miles from s of vehicle a hed with the i s using the V economic ana	ation, and ovides res of low-the access and ts er of tracts bermarket at number in urban permarket, imbered the amber of with 2010 and 10 mity only LILA siders the in the ccess in ehicle allysis,		
Type of Review:		[] Pa	nel Revie	w	[X]	Individual I	Reviewers		
		[] Al	ternative	Process (Brie	efly Explain)	:			
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start:	08/16	/16 En	d: X/	X/16 Wit	hdrawn:	X/X/16		
Number of Reviewers: []		3 or fewer	[2	x] 4 to 10	[]	More than 1	10		
Primary Disciplines/	Γypes of Experti	se Needed fo	or Review	: Economi	ists				
Reviewers selected by	y: [X]	Agency		[ated Outside			
			Org	anization's N	Organiz Jame:	ation			
			Olg	amzanon s r	tuille.				

Opportunities for Public Comment? If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities. How:		No	
When: Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?	[] Yes	[X]	No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?	[] Yes	[X]	No