Peer Review Plan

| Preliminary Title: | U.S. Food Commodity Consumption by Food Source, 1994-2008 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--------|--|-----------|--------------|-----------|--|
| Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,) | ERR | | (V) | Influential Scie | ntific Is | oformation. | | |
| A | Economic Rese | | [X] | | | | | |
| Agency: | USDA | earch service | [] | Highly Influent | iai Scie | nunc Assess | sinent | |
| Agency Contact: | Ephraim Leibtag, eleibtag@ers.usda.gov | | | | | | | |
| Subject of Review: | The Loss-Adjusted Food Availability (LAFA) data and the Federal dietary intake surveys supply key data for monitoring Americans' food and nutrient consumption. The LAFA data are for the nation as a whole but are not disaggregated by food. The dietary intake surveys give detailed data on food by food source. ERS researchers use dietary intake data to disaggregate the LAFA data for 1994-98 and 2003-08 by food source at the national level and under several consumer's demographics. This report will estimate the share of at-home foods overall and by food category to compare to other ERS data indicating that the at-home market accounts for 59 percent of food expenditure and 68 percent of caloric intake. | | | | | | | |
| Purpose of Review: | The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience. | | | | | | | |
| Type of Review: | | [] Panel I | Review | | [X] | Individual I | Reviewers | |
| [] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain): | | | | | | | | |
| Timing of Review (E | st.): Start: | 03/30/16 | End: | 08/05/16 | Wit | hdrawn: | XX/XX/16 | |
| Number of Reviewers | s: [] | 3 or fewer | [x] | 4 to 10 | [] | More than 1 | 10 | |
| Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists | | | | | | | | |
| Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency | | | Organ | [] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name: | | | | |
| Opportunities for Public Comment? [] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When: | | | | | | | | |
| Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? | | | | Yes | [X] | No | | |
| Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? [] Yes [X] No | | | | | | | | |

