## **Peer Review Plan**

Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	ERR					
		[X]	Influential Sci	entific I	nformation	
Agency:	Economic Research Ser USDA	rvice [ ]	Highly Influen	ntial Scie	entific Assessi	nent
Agency Contact:	Daniel Pick, <u>dpick@ers.usda.gov</u>					
Subject of Review:	Many households suffer the same time, foods pri made 3.6 percent less m prices for milk at retail. Households have severa prices increase or their in they may choose milk p conventional and organi purchases may help pol nourished and healthy p study investigates a hour along three dimensions: organic versus conventions	ices rose. For each oney after adjuted food stores rosed ways to economic fall. For oducts with a circumster of the copulation as we as sehold's choice three levels of	example, in 200 street for inflate 11.6 percent in comize on their for instance, who different level comation on how large effective popular a competite among retail for fat content, two	8, the mation that a 2007 a retail for en prices of fat conhouseho blicies for tive agriluid mill	edian U.S. hound it did in 200 and 6 percent in od purchases we so or income chatent or switch lds economized or supporting a cultural sector k products cate	usehold 7 while n 2008. when nange, n between e on their n well- c. This egorized
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.					
Type of Review:	[ ]	Panel Review		[X]	Individual R	eviewers
	[ ]	Alternative Pr	cocess (Briefly l	Explain)	:	
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start: 05	5/10/12 End:	10/04/12	2 Coi	mpleted:	10/04/12
Number of Reviewer	s: [ ] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[ ]	More than 10	)
Primary Disciplines/	Types of Expertise Neede	d for Review:	Economists			
Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency			[ ] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name:			
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly st How: When:	olic Comment? ate how and when these of	[ ] opportunities w		[X]	No	
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?			Yes	[X]	No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			Yes	[X]	No	
	-	LICE				

