Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Economic Viability of Industrial Hemp in the U.S.: A Review of Industrial Hemp Pilot Programs								
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	Report to Congr	ess							
Agency:	[X] Influential Scientific Information Economic Research Service [] Highly Influential Scientific Assessment USDA								
Agency Contact:	Kelly B. Maguire <kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov></kelly.b.maguire@usda.gov>								
Subject of Review:	The 2018 Farm Bill (the Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018, Public Law 115-334) legalizes the cultivation, processing, marketing, and sale of industrial hemp under existing and forthcoming federal and state regulations. Section 7605 of the 2018 Farm Bill also amends 7 U.S.C. 5940 to require the Secretary of Agriculture to "conduct a study of agricultural pilot programs to determine the economic viability of the domestic production and sale of industrial hemp", and provide a report on that study to Congress. The study should include "a review of each agricultural pilot program and any other agricultural or academic research relating to industrial hemp." The "pilot programs" refer to experimental hemp demonstration projects authorized by the previous Farm Bill (The Agricultural Act of 2014, Public Law 113-79) as specified elsewhere in 7 U.S.C. 5940. This study documents many legal, economic, financial, and agronomic challenges that hemp producers and State governments faced in the pilot programs and are facing in transitioning from the pilot programs to economically viable commercial production in the longer term.								
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of t of methods, obje							sparent explanation ended audience.	n
Type of Review:		[] Pane	l Review			[X]	Individual Ro	eviewers	
		[] Alter	native Pr	ocess (B	riefly Explain):				
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start:	10/23/2019	Com	pleted:	12/10/2019	Wit	thdrawn: XX	X/XX/19	
Number of Reviewer		3 or fewer		[x]	4 to 10	[]	More than 10)	
Primary Disciplines/	Гуреs of Expertise	e Needed for R	leview:		Economists				
Reviewers selected b	y: [X]	Agency	Orgar	nization')esigna	ted Outside Or	rganization	
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly st How: When:	olic Comment? tate how and when	n these opporti	ınities wi	[] Il be pro	Yes vided:	[X]	No		
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?				[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No		