Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Current Financial Conditions in U.S. Agriculture: Historical Comparisons								
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	EIB								
Agency:				X] Influential Scientific Information Highly Influential Scientific Assessment					
Agency Contact:	Cindy Nickerson, cnickerson@ers.usda.gov								
Subject of Review:	Following a steep decline in farm income, the past several years have seen a weaker market for farmland and an uptick in interest rates. Lower commodity prices and higher interest rates reduce farm receipts and raise borrowing costs, making it more difficult for some farmers to pay for their production expenses and meet their loan obligations. To better understand the severity of the current downturn in the agricultural economy, this report compares sectoral and farm-level measures of financial performance relative to historic levels. Using data from the USDA-ERS and NASS Agricultural Resource Management Survey, farm-level measures of financial health are disaggregated across farm types to see which types of farms are under greatest financial stress. The study also uses data from agricultural lenders to compare current agricultural loan delinquencies to levels in the recent past and simulates the effects of a hypothetical decline in gross farm income in order to evaluate which types of operations would be most vulnerable to a further downturn in the agricultural economy.								
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of of methods, obj								
Type of Review:		[] Panel	Review			[X]	Individua	1 Reviewers	
		[] Alterr	native Pro	ocess (B	riefly Explain)	:			
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start:	2/6/2019	Com	pleted:	5/31/2019	Wit	hdrawn:	XX/XX/19	
Number of Reviewer	s: []	3 or fewer		[x]	4 to 10	[]	More than	n 10	
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Re			eview:		Economists				
Reviewers selected by:		Agency	Organ	ization'	s Name:	Designat	ted Outside	e Organization	ı
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly st How: When:	blic Comment? tate how and whe	en these opportu	nities wi	[] Il be pro	Yes vided:	[X]	No		
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?				[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No		