Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	U.S. Produce Growers' Thoughts on Changing Food Safety Requirements: A Case Study Analysis										
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB, TB, SOR,)	EIB			577	v d		Y 6				
Agency:	Economic Rese USDA	arch Servic		[X] []	Influential Scientific Information Highly Influential Scientific Assessment						
Agency Contact:	Cindy Nickerson, cnickerson@ers.usda.gov										
Subject of Review:	The 2011 Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA) mandated a rule on new standards for produce safety entitled "Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption"—often called the "Produce Rule" (PR)—as the first on-farm FDA regulation for U.S. produce growers. Regulators and organizations that advise growers need to understand the challenges facing growers to ensure successful implementation of the Produce Rule. The Economic Research Service (ERS) interviewed about 50 produce growers, food safety supervisors, officials from State departments of agriculture, agricultural extension personnel, and trade organization representatives between 2015 and 2017 to explore the complex reasons why produce growers chose to use certain food safety practices. All growers embrace the importance of growing safe food to protect consumers and their businesses. However, interviews reveal that food safety practices followed by growers vary widely.										
Purpose of The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.											
Type of Review:		[] P	Panel Rev	view			[X]	Individua	al Reviewers	3	
[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):											
Timing of Review (E	st.): Start:	: 7/30/2018		Completed:		12/03/18 Wi		Vithdrawn: XX/XX/18			
Number of Reviewers	s: []	3 or fewer			[x]	4 to 10	[]	More tha	n 10		
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Rev						Economists					
Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency					[] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name:						
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly st How: When:	olic Comment? ate how and whe	n these opp	portunitie	es will	[] be prov	Yes vided:	[X]	No			
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?					[]	Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No			

